

Melchizedek

Bible search results

1. [Genesis 14:18](#)

And **Melchizedek** the king of Salem brought out bread and wine; now he was a priest of God Most High.

[In Context](#) | [Full Chapter](#) | [Other Translations](#)

2. [Psalm 110:4](#)

The Lord has sworn and will not change His mind, “You are a priest forever According to the order of **Melchizedek.**”

[In Context](#) | [Full Chapter](#) | [Other Translations](#)

3. [Hebrews 5:6](#)

just as He also says in another *passage*, “You are a priest forever According to the order of **Melchizedek.**”

[In Context](#) | [Full Chapter](#) | [Other Translations](#)

4. [Hebrews 5:10](#)

being designated by God as High Priest according to the order of **Melchizedek.**

[In Context](#) | [Full Chapter](#) | [Other Translations](#)

5. [Hebrews 6:20](#)

where Jesus has entered as a forerunner for us, having become a high priest forever according to the order of **Melchizedek.**

[In Context](#) | [Full Chapter](#) | [Other Translations](#)

6. [Hebrews 7:1](#)

Melchizedek's Priesthood like Christ's

For this **Melchizedek**, king of Salem, priest of the Most High God, who met Abraham as he was returning from the slaughter of the kings and blessed him,

[In Context](#) | [Full Chapter](#) | [Other Translations](#)

7. [Hebrews 7:10](#)

for he was still in the loins of his forefather when **Melchizedek** met him.

[In Context](#) | [Full Chapter](#) | [Other Translations](#)

8. [Hebrews 7:11](#)

So if perfection was through the Levitical priesthood (for on the basis of it the people received the Law), what further need *was there* for another priest to arise according to the order of **Melchizedek**, and not be designated according to the order of Aaron?

[In Context](#) | [Full Chapter](#) | [Other Translations](#)

9. [Hebrews 7:15](#)

And this is clearer still, if another priest arises according to the likeness of **Melchizedek**,

[In Context](#) | [Full Chapter](#) | [Other Translations](#)

10. [Hebrews 7:17](#)

For it is attested *of Him*, “You are a priest forever According to the order of **Melchizedek**.”

[In Context](#) | [Full Chapter](#) | [Other Translations](#)

Hebrews 7

Melchizedek's Priesthood like Christ's

⁷ For this Melchizedek, king of Salem, priest of the Most High God, who met Abraham as he was returning from the slaughter of the kings and blessed him, ² to whom also Abraham apportioned a tenth of all *the spoils*, was first of all, by the translation *of his name*, king of righteousness, and then also king of Salem, which is king of peace. ³ Without father, without mother, without genealogy, having neither beginning of days nor end of life, but made like the Son of God, he remains a priest perpetually.

⁴ Now observe how great this man was to whom Abraham, the patriarch, gave a tenth of the choicest spoils. ⁵ And those indeed of the sons of Levi who receive the priest's office have a commandment in the Law to collect a tenth from the people, that is, from their countrymen, although they are descended from Abraham. ⁶ But the one whose genealogy is not traced from them collected a tenth from Abraham and blessed the one who had the promises. ⁷ But without any dispute the lesser *person* is blessed by the greater. ⁸ In this case mortal men receive tithes, but in that case one *receives them*, of whom it is witnessed that he lives *on*. ⁹ And, so to speak, through Abraham even Levi, who received tithes, has paid tithes, ¹⁰ for he was still in the loins of his forefather when Melchizedek met him.

¹¹ So if perfection was through the Levitical priesthood (for on the basis of it the people received the Law), what further need *was there* for another priest to arise according to the order of Melchizedek, and not be designated according to the order of Aaron? ¹² For when the priesthood is changed, of necessity there takes place a change of law also. ¹³ For the one about whom these things are said belongs to another tribe, from which no one has officiated at the altar. ¹⁴ For it is evident that our Lord was descended from Judah, a tribe with reference to which Moses said nothing concerning priests. ¹⁵ And this is clearer still, if another priest arises according to the likeness of Melchizedek, ¹⁶ who has become *a priest* not on the basis of a law of physical requirement, but according to the power of an indestructible life. ¹⁷ For it is attested *of Him*,

“YOU ARE A PRIEST FOREVER
ACCORDING TO THE ORDER OF MELCHIZEDEK.”

¹⁸ For, on the one hand, there is *the* nullification of a former commandment because of its weakness and uselessness ¹⁹ (for the Law made nothing perfect); on the other hand, *there is the* introduction of a better hope, through which we come near to God. ²⁰ And to the extent that *it was* not without an oath ²¹ (for they indeed became priests without an oath, but He with an oath through the One who said to Him,

“THE LORD HAS SWORN
AND WILL NOT CHANGE HIS MIND,
‘YOU ARE A PRIEST FOREVER’”);

²² by the same extent Jesus also has become the guarantee of a better covenant.

²³ The *former* priests, on the one hand, existed in greater numbers because they were prevented by death from continuing; ²⁴ Jesus, on the other hand, because He continues forever, holds His priesthood permanently. ²⁵ Therefore He is also able to save forever those who come to God through Him, since He always lives to make intercession for them.

²⁶ For it was fitting for us to have such a high priest, holy, innocent, undefiled, separated from sinners, and exalted above the heavens; ²⁷ who has no daily need, like those high priests, to offer up sacrifices, first for His own sins and then for the *sins* of the people, because He did this once for all *time* when He offered up Himself. ²⁸ For the Law appoints men as high priests who are weak, but the word of the oath, which came after the Law, *appoints* a Son, who has been made perfect forever.

Melchizedek

MELCHIZEDEK mēl kīz' ə dek (מֶלְכִּי־צֶדֶק); LXX Μελχισεδεκ; meaning *king of righteousness*). This priest-king is mentioned in [Genesis 14:18-20](#); [Psalm 110:4](#); and [Hebrews 5:6-11](#); [6:20-7:28](#).

1. [Genesis 14:18-20](#). He is the king of Salem and priest of El Elyon, who brought Abram bread and wine, blessed him and received a tithe from him after Abram had defeated Chedorlaomer and the kings who were with him.

Salem is best identified with Jerusalem on the basis of (1) [Psalm 76:2](#), (2) the early mention of the city in the Tell el-Amarna letters (14th cent. b.c.) and Assyrian inscrs., long before it became an Israelite city, as *Uru-salem*, *Uru-salimmu*, (3) the Targumim, and (4) the Genesis Apocryphon.

It is noteworthy that the author of Hebrews does not mention any typology in connection with the bread and wine. By offering these refreshments Melchizedek expressed his friendship and perhaps his religious kinship with Abram.

Most critics regard Melchizedek as a Canaanite priest because both elements of the name he serves, El Elyon, occur as names of specific deities, the first in Ugaritic (M. Pope, *El in the Ugaritic Texts*) and the second in Phoen.; the Aram. inscr. from Syria combines the two into a compound (E. A. Speiser, *Anchor Bible: Genesis* [1964], 104). In addition, many critics regard both [Genesis 14:18-20](#) and [Psalm 110:4](#) as a piece of syncretism whereby the pre-Davidic kingship and this Canaanite worship of El Elyon were linked with Yahwism and the founding of the Davidic dynasty to foster the emergence of Jerusalem as Israel's cultic center. These views, however, must be rejected for they presuppose that Scripture is deceptive and that man's hypothetical historical reconstructions are more trustworthy than the inspired Word of God.

On the contrary Scripture equates El Elyon with Yahweh. Melchizedek regarded El Elyon as the creator of matter, the cosmos ([Gen 14:19](#)), a concept foreign to the polytheistic religions of the ancient Near E which did not distinguish spirit from matter and therefore worshiped the elements of the cosmos. Moreover, it is clear that Abram regarded Melchizedek as worshiping the same God as he. By unhesitatingly giving Melchizedek a tithe of everything ([v. 20](#)) the Yahwist, Abram, not only showed his support of this priest-king and his sanctuary but also publicly

demonstrated that he recognized him as a person of higher spiritual rank than he, a patriarchal priest. By contrast Abram declines a gift from the king of Sodom to indicate publicly that he has no theological or spiritual affiliation with him. Also, by calling Yahweh ([v. 22](#); found in MT, but not in Samaritan, LXX or Pesh.) El Elyon, Abram emphasized to the king of Sodom that his God and Melchizedek's are one and the same. Finally, the OT elsewhere uses this name as an epithet for Yahweh ([Pss 7:17](#); [47:2](#); [57:2](#); [78:56](#)).

2. [Psalm 110:4](#). Here a Davidic king is acclaimed by divine oath "a priest for ever after the order of Melchizedek." F. F. Bruce stated that the background for this acclamation is provided by David's conquest of Jerusalem c. 1000 b.c., by virtue of which David and his house became heirs to Melchizedek's dynasty of priest-kings (NBD, 806). Be that as it may, it is sure that David had in view the One greater than himself when he called Him lord in [v. 1](#) (cf. [Mark 12:35ff.](#)). The acclaim must refer to the Lord Jesus who was Son of God as well as son of David.

3. [Hebrews 5:6-11](#); [6:20-7:28](#). In order to demonstrate that Christ superseded the Aaronic priesthood the writer of Hebrews first demonstrated that Melchizedek is a type of Christ by noting that both are a king of righteousness as well as a king of peace, both are unique ("without descent"), and both abide a priest continually ([Heb 7:1-3](#)). He then proceeded to demonstrate that the order of Melchizedek is superior to the order of Aaron: (1) because Melchizedek is greater than Abraham, the father of Levi, for he blessed Abraham and received tithes from him ([vv. 4-10](#)); (2) because David predicted that the order of Melchizedek would replace the Levitical priesthood, showing that the latter was imperfect ([vv. 11-19](#)); (3) because of the divine oath behind it ([vv. 20-22](#)); (4) and because of its permanence ([vv. 23-25](#)).

Attempts to identify Melchizedek with the patriarch Shem, an angel, a power or virtue or influence of God, the Holy Ghost, the Son of God, the Messiah, etc. are unauthorized additions and irreconcilable with the argument of Hebrews. It is an essential part of this argument that Melchizedek is given no pedigree and that he was a man made like unto the Son of God (cf. W. Smith, *A Dictionary of the Bible*, II [1863], 315).

Melchizedek is used by Philo and in a peshier found in Qumran Cave 11 in a way different from the typological exegesis of Hebrews.

Bibliography Commentaries on Genesis, Psalms and Hebrews; for ancient works see W. Smith, *A Dictionary of the Bible*, II (1863), 315; for extensive bibliography on Hebrews see M. de Jonge and A. S. van der Woude, "11Q Melchizedek and the New Testament from Qumran Cave 11," *NTS*, XII (1966), 318, n. 3; for bibliography on 11Q Melchizedek see H. H. Rowley, "Melchizedek and Zadok," *Festschrift für A. Bertholet*, ed. W. Baumgartner *et alii* (1950), 161ff.; A. R. Johnson, *Sacral Kingship in Ancient Israel* (1955), 31-46, 120-122; O. Cullmann, *The Christology of the New Testament* (1959), 38ff.; Merrill P. Miller, "The Function of [Isa 61:1-2](#) in 11Q Melchizedek," *JBL*, LXXXVIII (1969), 467.

Who Was Melchizedek?

Question:

In this week's Torah portion, after Abraham's victorious return from battle, we read: "*Melchizedek the king of Salem brought out bread and wine, and he was a priest to the Most High God. And [Melchizedek] blessed him, and he said, "Blessed be Abram to the Most High God—Who possesses heaven and earth—and blessed be the Most High God, Who has delivered your adversaries into your hand." And [Abram] gave him a tithe from all.*"[1](#)

Who was this Melchizedek? What sort of priest was he, and why did Abraham give him a tithe?

Response:

Taken alone, this tiny anecdote does indeed seem strange. The [Torah](#) tells us nothing else about this man and his relationship to Abraham.

The ancient *Targumim* (Aramaic interpretive translations) identify Melchizedek as Shem—son of Noah. Shem was one of the links in the chain who transmitted the G-dly traditions that originated with Adam. These traditions were carefully handed down from generation to generation, and Shem—who headed an academy—was a key conductor of these teachings. The Midrash tells us that he was so perfect and so spiritually advanced that he was born circumcised.[2](#)

So why did the priesthood pass from him to Abraham's children? The Talmud explains that this happened as a result of his having blessed Abraham before blessing G-d in the verses above. This is reflected in the only other place in Scripture where Melchizedek is mentioned: in [Psalms 110:4](#), where we read, ". . . you are a priest forever because of the speech of Melchizedek." Because of Melchizedek's ill-chosen speech, the priesthood was taken from him and given to the seed of Abraham forever.[3](#)

Footnotes

[1. Genesis 14:18-20.](#)

[2. Bereishit Rabbah 43:6.](#)

[3.](#) Talmud, Nedarim 32b. At first glance it seems problematic to say that the priesthood was taken from the progeny of Shem and given to the seed of Abraham, as Abraham himself was a grandson of Shem.

Tosafot offers two possible explanations: a. The priesthood was taken from the entire clan of Shem and became the exclusive privilege of Abraham's seed. b. Natural progression would have conferred Shem's status on his firstborn descendants—not Abraham. Thus, it was only because of this encounter that the priesthood was given to Abraham specifically.

RaN explains that the intent of the Talmud here is that the priesthood of Abraham's seed was now only by merit of the righteous Abraham and not due to their relation to Shem—which is why they are the only descendants of Shem deemed worthy of honor.

[By Menachem Posner](#)

What Is the Order of Melchizedek?

[Question?](#) - [Newsletter](#) - [New!](#)

What is the "order of Melchizedek" referenced six times in the book of Hebrews (Hebrews 5:6, 10, 6:20, 7:11, 17 and 21)? What does Scripture reveal about the unique individual who started it early in Biblical history?

Why does Melchizedek represent something that is far superior to what was offered to ancient Israel under the Old Covenant? How is Jesus' role as mankind's mediator and intercessor in heaven critically linked to Melchizedek?

The only physical appearance of the man, from whom the order of Melchizedek is named, takes place in the lifetime of Abram (Abraham). In his early eighties (see Genesis 12:4), Abram is forced to muster up a small army of his trained servants. He does this to rescue Lot from a four-king alliance that has taken him and many others as prisoners (Genesis 14:1 - 14).

Abram is ultimately victorious in battle. He then meets a mysterious and highly honored priest who blesses him.

And Melchizedek the King of Salem brought forth bread and wine. And He was the Priest of the Most High God. And He blessed him, and said, 'Blessed be Abram of the Most High God . . . And he (Abram) gave Him tithes of all (Genesis 14:18 - 20, HBFV).

What can we learn about Melchizedek from this brief account? His name, in Hebrew, means someone who is the King of Right or Righteousness (Strong's Concordance #H4442), a fact later confirmed (Hebrews 7:2). He possesses the title "King of Salem" which, given that Salem means "peace" (Brown-Driver-Briggs Hebrew Definitions, #H8004), is synonymous with "King of Peace" (Hebrews 7:2). Salem is also an early name for the city of Jerusalem.

Paul's hidden proof

The Apostle Paul quotes a somewhat hidden Old Testament passage to show that the priesthood started by Melchizedek made possible Jesus' role as our heavenly

high priest. It is a role that he did not perfectly fulfill until after he was born, suffered, died and was resurrected from the dead (Hebrews 5:7 - 10, 9:11 - 12).

So Christ also did not take upon himself the glory of becoming a high priest. But God said to him, "You are my Son; today I have become your Father. And he says in another place (Psalm 110:4), "You are a priest forever, in the order of Melchizedek" (Hebrews 5:5 - 6, NIV).

God's law specified that only those born of the tribe of Levi could serve as his priests (Numbers 8). Of these Levites, only those who were descended from Aaron were eligible to become High Priest (Exodus 29:9, 29 - 30, Leviticus 8:12, 16:32).

Jesus, however, came from the tribe of Judah, a tribe which Scripture says nothing concerning the priesthood (Hebrews 7:13 - 14). He was not eligible to be a priest. How could he then "legally" serve in such a capacity after his resurrection? The answer is the creation of the order of Melchizedek.

Why did God do it?

God intended, in advance, that the Old Covenant Levitical priesthood last for only a short time (Hebrews 7:11 - 12, 9 - 10) and be replaced. This is why the Biblical appearance of Melchizedek (Genesis 14:18 - 20) occurred many decades before Levi (Abraham's great-grandson) was born and more than 300 years prior to Israel receiving the law (Exodus 20).

The existence of his order, prior to the giving of the law, meant that it would not be bound by its rules regarding the priesthood. This made it possible for Jesus to serve, after his resurrection, as High Priest before God.

Superior priesthood

The Apostle Paul delineates some of the profound ways this order, begun by Melchizedek, is superior to what was instituted under the Old Covenant (Hebrews 7:7). It is a royal or ruling High priesthood (Hebrews 7:1), which is perfect (verse 11), changeless (verse 24) and composed of one individual who always existed (verse 3) and always will (verses 8, 16, 24).

Instead of intercession being accomplished periodically within an earthly temple (see Hebrews 9), it is performed constantly next to God's throne (Hebrews 7:25 -

26, 8:1 - 2, 10:11 - 12). The covenant that is mediated by the order of Melchizedek is much better (Hebrews 8:6), with better promises, than what was offering under the Old Covenant.

Recommended Articles

[In What War Did Abraham Fight?](#)

[Where Was Jesus' Transfiguration?](#)

[Who Was Melchizedek Who Met Abraham?](#)

[Is God a Family?](#)

[Who Sits on God's Left Hand?](#)

[What Are the Functions of a High Priest?](#)

[What Exactly Is the Old Covenant?](#)

[What Did Paul Teach about God's Law?](#)

References

Basic Theology: A Popular Systematic Guide

Holy Bible, a Faithful Version

Who was Melchizedek?

Melchizedek, whose name means “king of righteousness,” was a king of Salem (Jerusalem) and priest of the Most High God ([Genesis 14:18–20](#); [Psalm 110:4](#); [Hebrews 5:6–11](#); [6:20–7:28](#)). Melchizedek’s sudden appearance and disappearance in the book of Genesis is somewhat mysterious. Melchizedek and Abraham first met after Abraham’s defeat of Chedorlaomer and his three allies. Melchizedek presented bread and wine to Abraham and his weary men, demonstrating friendship. He bestowed a blessing on Abraham in the name of *El Elyon* (“God Most High”) and praised God for giving Abraham a victory in battle ([Genesis 14:18–20](#)).

Abraham presented Melchizedek with a tithe (a tenth) of all the items he had gathered. By this act Abraham indicated that he recognized Melchizedek as a priest who ranked higher spiritually than he.

In [Psalm 110](#), a messianic psalm written by David ([Matthew 22:43](#)), Melchizedek is presented as a type of Christ. This theme is repeated in the book of Hebrews, where both Melchizedek and Christ are considered kings of righteousness and peace. By citing Melchizedek and his unique priesthood as a type, the writer shows that Christ’s new priesthood is superior to the old levitical order and the priesthood of Aaron ([Hebrews 7:1–10](#)).

Some propose that Melchizedek was actually a pre-incarnate appearance of Jesus Christ, or a [Christophany](#). This is a possible theory, especially given that Abraham received such a visit later, in [Genesis 17–18](#), when Abraham saw and spoke with the Lord (*Yahweh*) in the form of a man.

[Hebrews 6:20](#) says, “[Jesus] has become a high priest forever, in the order of Melchizedek.” This term *order* would ordinarily indicate a succession of priests holding the office. None are ever mentioned, however, in the long interval from Melchizedek to Christ, an anomaly that can be solved by assuming that Melchizedek and Christ are really the same person. Thus the “order” is eternally vested in Him and Him alone.

[Hebrews 7:3](#) says that Melchizedek was “without father or mother, without genealogy, without beginning of days or end of life, resembling the Son of God, he

remains a priest forever.” The question is whether the author of Hebrews means this *actually* or *figuratively*.

If the description in Hebrews is literal, then it is indeed difficult to see how it could be properly applied to anyone but the Lord Jesus Christ. No mere earthly king “remains a priest forever,” and no mere human is “without father or mother.” If [Genesis 14](#) describes a theophany, then God the Son came to give Abraham His blessing ([Genesis 14:17–19](#)), appearing as the King of Righteousness ([Revelation 19:11,16](#)), the King of Peace ([Isaiah 9:6](#)), and the Mediator between God and Man ([1 Timothy 2:5](#)).

If the description of Melchizedek is figurative, then the details of having no genealogy, no beginning or ending, and a ceaseless ministry are simply statements accentuating the mysterious nature of the person who met Abraham. In this case, the silence in the Genesis account concerning these details is purposeful and better serves to link Melchizedek with Christ.

Are Melchizedek and Jesus the same person? A case can be made either way. At the very least, Melchizedek is a type of Christ, prefiguring the Lord’s ministry. But it is also possible that Abraham, after his weary battle, met and gave honor to the Lord Jesus Himself.

[Lesson 20: Why You Need to Know About Melchizedek \(Hebrews 7:1-10\)](#)

Related Media

 JW Player

Most of you would probably admit that you're not highly motivated to learn about Melchizedek. You've got marriage problems, problems with your kids, financial problems, personal problems, and other practical needs. Why in the world would you be interested in learning about some obscure figure from many centuries ago named Melchizedek? "For crying out loud, Steve, it's Mother's Day! Give us a message that relates to mothers!" I believe that learning about Melchizedek will help you to be a better mother, father, child, or whatever role you are in. My aim is to convince you that you *do* need to know about this man.

To understand this, we need to put the chapter in its context. The Jewish Christians to whom this letter was addressed were tempted to abandon their Christian faith and return to Judaism under the threat of persecution. Some of them had lost their property and had suffered public reproach on account of their faith (10:32-34). They were thinking, "Hey, we didn't have it so bad as Jews! The Jewish religion was a good system. It spelled out how we should live. The rituals were familiar and satisfying. It was the faith of our forefathers for many centuries. Maybe we should just go back to the way things were."

To understand the pull of the past, we need to realize that religious traditions die hard! For over 20 years, Marla and I have read and prayed along with *The Global Prayer Digest* (published by the U.S. Center for World Mission). One thing that has repeatedly struck me as I've read it is how strongly entrenched religious traditions are. It will mention a people group where, many centuries ago, Islam took root and the culture is totally Islamic. For hundreds of years, generations have lived and died without questioning the religious traditions. These false religious views dominate their whole way of life. When missionaries try to penetrate these cultures with the gospel, they meet with strong resistance, because to accept the gospel would mean abandoning centuries of religious tradition.

The author of Hebrews was trying to convince people that a religious system of sacrifices, rituals, and rules that had been in place for over 1,400 years had now

been replaced by a better way. He focuses on the supremacy of Jesus Christ, who is the fulfillment of all that was written by Moses and the Jewish prophets. He introduces a theme that is only treated in the Book of Hebrews, that Jesus Christ is our high priest.

We will only appreciate our need for a high priest to the degree that we realize how holy and unapproachable God is and how sinful and defiled we are. When Isaiah saw the Lord, sitting on His throne, lofty and exalted, surrounded by the seraphim who called out, "Holy, holy, holy, is the Lord of hosts," the prophet was undone ([Isa. 6:1-5](#)). It devastated him because immediately he became aware of how utterly sinful he was, in contrast to God in His awesome holiness.

Israel in the wilderness had seen Moses go up on the mountain into the cloud, with lightning and thunder and a loud trumpet sound, and they were terrified. If the people got too close to the mountain, God warned that He would break forth upon them with a deadly plague ([Exod. 19:10-25](#)). The Jews knew that they could not saunter into the Holy of Holies to chat with God! Only the high priest could enter there, and only once a year, with blood. The Jewish people knew how desperately they needed a high priest if they were to approach God.

The author of Hebrews is making the point that Jesus is our high priest. But He is not just the fulfillment of the Levitical priesthood. He is something more, a high priest forever according to the order of Melchizedek. To view Him on a par with the Levitical priests would be to make a spiritually fatal mistake. That entire old system was designed to point ahead to Jesus Christ, who superseded and fulfilled it. To go back to the old way would be to abandon God's only way of entrance into His holy presence. It would be to turn from the only One who can save us from our sins and go back to an inferior system. So the author here is saying,

You need to know about Melchizedek because he is a type of the Lord Jesus Christ, and you desperately need to know about Christ.

The author is picking up where he left off in 5:10, before his exhortation from [5:11-6:20](#). He wanted to discuss the significance of Melchizedek, but he could not do so because these people had become dull of hearing. He wants them to understand Melchizedek so that they can gain a deeper understanding of Jesus Christ. But Christ does not reveal Himself to those who are spiritually lazy or apathetic. Have you ever considered why Jesus did not do the Transfiguration in front of the

multitudes? In fact, He didn't even do it in front of the Twelve. He only took with Him Peter, James, and John to witness this astounding scene!

But to the multitudes, Jesus concealed His glory and spoke in parables, because they were spiritually dull (see [Matt. 13:12-15](#)). He only reveals His glory to those with whom He is intimate, and He is only intimate with those whose hearts are humbled before Him. And so as we approach these truths about Melchizedek as a type of Christ, we must make sure that our hearts are right before God.

Also, we must give some effort and attention to the matter of seeking to know Him. The only command in our text is, "observe how great this man [Melchizedek] was" (7:4). The Greek word means to gaze at or discern through careful observation. We get the word "theater" from it. We are to observe Melchizedek because he is a type of Jesus Christ, and we desire to see the beauty and glory of Jesus, in whom are hidden all the treasures of wisdom and knowledge ([Col. 2:3](#)). To see Him as He is, is a transforming experience ([1 John 3:2](#)). The solution to every problem that you face is to know Jesus Christ more accurately and intimately.

The flow of thought runs like this: In 7:1-3, the author identifies Melchizedek as both king and priest, without genealogy or end of days. In these ways, he is "made like the Son of God," and remains a priest perpetually. The Son of God is not made like him, but he is made like the Son of God, presented in Scripture in such a way that he points to the truth about the Son of God.

Then, in 7:4-7, the author shows that Melchizedek is greater than Abraham, the father of the Jews and of all believers, in that Abraham paid tithes to Melchizedek and he blessed Abraham. In 7:8-10, the author shows that Melchizedek was also greater than the Levitical priests (and the system they represented), in two ways: First, the Levitical priests were mortal, but Melchizedek "lives on" (7:8). Second, Levi, who received tithes, actually paid tithes to Melchizedek through Abraham, his forefather, when he paid tithes to Melchizedek (7:9-10). We can sum up these points under four headings that show how Melchizedek was a type of Jesus Christ:

1. Melchizedek is a type of Christ in the dignity of his person.

Everything we know about Melchizedek comes from [Genesis 14:18-20](#), [Psalm 110:4](#), and [Hebrews 7](#). The first text is historical, the second is prophetic, and the third is theological. Melchizedek was the king of Salem (probably Jerusalem [[Ps. 76:2](#)]) and priest of the Most High God. Abraham had gone after four kings that had

taken his nephew Lot and his family captive when they raided Sodom, where Lot was living. Abraham defeated these kings, recovered all of the goods, and brought back Lot and his family. As Abraham returned from this battle, Melchizedek came out to meet him. He blessed Abraham and Abraham gave Melchizedek a tenth of his spoils.

Out of what that short account says and does not say, the author of Hebrews draws some amazing parallels between Melchizedek and Christ. It is interesting that he omits what seems to be an obvious parallel, that Melchizedek met Abraham with bread and wine! You would think, “That’s clearly a type of Christ giving bread and wine to the disciples!” In the original story, Melchizedek was bringing refreshment to Abraham and his weary men. But for some reason, the author of Hebrews passes over the easy parallel and focuses on some things that most of us would have missed.

The first thing to note is that Melchizedek was both a king and a priest in the same person (7:1), which was not allowed in Israel. You may be a king or you may be a priest, but you could not be both at once. John Calvin (*Calvin’s Commentaries* [Baker], Hebrews, p. 155) points out that it is remarkable that Melchizedek lived with Sodom on one side and the Canaanites on the other, and yet he was a righteous king and priest. This shows that God can raise up a godly witness for Himself when and where He pleases. Like Melchizedek, Jesus is both king and priest in one person.

The author makes the point (7:2) that Melchizedek “was first of all, by the translation of his name, king of righteousness, and then also king of Salem, which is king of peace.” In Hebrew, *Melchi* means “my king,” and *zedek* means “righteousness.” *Salem* is related to *shalom*, which means peace. The order is significant: righteousness comes before peace. A king cannot have true peace in his kingdom unless both he and his kingdom are righteous. Sin brings discord and strife. Righteousness is the foundation for peace.

Jesus is called “Jesus Christ the righteous” ([1 John 2:1](#)). He not only imputes and imparts righteousness to others; He is righteous in His very being. He never sinned, nor could any guilt be found in Him. He is the Lamb of God, unblemished and spotless ([1 Pet. 1:19](#)). He is “holy, innocent, undefiled, separated from sinners” ([Heb. 7:26](#)). He did “no violence, nor was there any deceit in His mouth” ([Isa. 53:9](#)).

When He comes again to reign, “in righteousness” He will wage war against the wicked ([Rev. 19:11](#)). “With righteousness He will judge the poor.... And He will strike the earth with the rod of His mouth, and with the breath of His lips He will slay the wicked. Also righteousness will be the belt about His loins, and faithfulness the belt about His waist” ([Isa. 11:4-5](#)). “There will be no end to the increase of His government or of peace, on the throne of David and over his kingdom, to establish it and to uphold it with justice and righteousness from then on and forevermore” ([Isa. 9:7](#)).

Jesus is also the king of peace ([Eph. 2:14-18](#)). He brings peace between sinners and God, and peace among all that live under His lordship. Paul wrote, “Therefore, having been justified [“declared righteous”] by faith, we have peace with God through our Lord Jesus Christ” ([Rom. 5:1](#)). God did not lay aside His righteousness to make peace with sinners. Rather, He laid our penalty on His righteous substitute, “so that He would be just and the justifier of the one who has faith in Jesus” ([Rom. 3:26](#)).

If you know Jesus Christ as your King of righteousness and peace, you will be growing in righteous behavior and you will be pursuing peace with others ([Rom. 14:17, 19](#)). I am *not* talking about *perfection*, but rather, *direction*. You will be growing in conformity to your King.

2. Melchizedek is a type of Christ in the derivation and duration of his priesthood.

Being a priest in Israel was totally dependent on your family lineage. All priests came from the tribe of Levi. No one else need apply. If you could not establish your family heritage, you were excluded from the priesthood ([Neh. 7:61-64](#)). But Melchizedek was “without father, without mother, without genealogy” ([Heb. 7:3](#)). Yet he was “priest of the Most High God” (7:1).

A few have interpreted Melchizedek’s lack of genealogy and the next phrase, that he had “neither beginning of days nor end of life,” to mean that he was superhuman, either an angel or a preincarnate appearance of Jesus Christ. But the vast majority of commentators reject that interpretation and agree that Melchizedek was simply a great man who lived at the same time as Abraham.

The author of Hebrews is building an argument from the strange silence of Genesis. That book emphasizes genealogies and the number of years that the patriarchs lived. In the midst of this emphasis, seemingly out of nowhere, comes this man

Melchizedek. His family lineage is never mentioned, nor does Genesis say anything about the length of his life or his death. The author is saying that the Holy Spirit deliberately omitted these facts from a book that emphasizes such, in order to make Melchizedek an appropriate type of Jesus Christ. That's why he says that Melchizedek was "made like the Son of God" (7:3), rather than "Jesus was made like Melchizedek." It is not that Melchizedek never died, but rather in what Genesis omits, that he "remains a priest perpetually."

Jesus' human lineage is given in Scripture, but He did not come from the priestly tribe of Levi, but from Judah (7:14). To be our high priest forever, Jesus had to be of a different priestly order, namely, that of Melchizedek. As the Son of God (that title is used deliberately in 7:3 to focus on Jesus' deity; see also, 1:8), Jesus has no human lineage, and thus fulfills the type of Melchizedek as reported in Genesis. Also, the Levitical priests died and had to be replaced, but Jesus lives on in His high priesthood (7:23-24). So both in the derivation and in the duration of his priesthood, Melchizedek is a type of Jesus Christ.

3. Melchizedek is a type of Christ in the dimension of his priesthood.

Melchizedek was greater than both Abraham and Levi, since he received tithes from both of these great men. Abraham spontaneously recognized that this man represented God Most High, and so he gave him a tenth of his choicest spoils as an act of worship and gratitude toward God for granting him victory over the four kings. Levi, who was Abraham's great-grandson, gave tithes to Melchizedek through Abraham's tithes, in that he was still in Abraham's loins when this took place. In Hebrew thought, an ancestor contained in him all of his descendants. Thus Paul argues that when Adam sinned, the entire human race sinned ([Rom. 5:12](#)). So here, the author says, "so to speak, through Abraham even Levi, who received tithes, paid tithes."

Some (*e.g.*, A. W. Pink) use this to argue that the principle of the tithe, giving God ten percent, transcends the Law of Moses. But Abraham only did this on one recorded occasion (as did Jacob, [Gen. 28:22](#)). The New Testament epistles never command believers to tithe, even when addressed to Gentile congregations that would have needed such instruction. Rather, the New Testament principle is that God owns everything that we are and have, and that we are to give as He has prospered us ([1 Cor. 16:2](#); [2 Cor. 8](#) & 9). We are stewards of His resources, and we

will give an account of how we have used them to further His kingdom ([Matt. 6:19-33](#); [25:14-30](#); [Luke 16:1-13](#); [1 Tim. 6:17-19](#)).

But the point of the typology between Melchizedek and the Son of God is that since Melchizedek, in receiving tithes from Abraham and Levi, was greater than these great men, Jesus is greater still. As our High Priest, He is worthy not just of a tithe, but of all that we are and have, because He bought us with His blood. No gifts that we give can compare with His matchless worth!

Thus Melchizedek is a type of Christ in the dignity of his person; in the derivation and duration of his priesthood; and, in the dimension of his priesthood. Finally,

4. Melchizedek is a type of Christ in the dispensing of his priesthood.

Even though Abraham was God's chosen man and God promised to bless the nations through him, Melchizedek "blessed the one who had the promises. But without any dispute the lesser is blessed by the greater" (7:6-7). Scripture uses the term "blessing" in different ways. In one sense, we bless God ([Ps. 103:1](#)), which does not imply that we are greater than He! We bless others by praying for them or rendering kind words or service ([Luke 6:28](#); [1 Pet. 3:9](#)), which is mutual. But here the sense is that of the priestly ([Num. 6:22-27](#)) or fatherly ([Gen. 27:27](#); [48:15](#)) blessing, which was not mutual. The one imparting the blessing is conveying God's blessing through His authority onto the one being blessed. Since Melchizedek pronounced God's blessing on Abraham, he is greater than this great man who had God's promises!

But Melchizedek is only a type of the one who is greater still, the Lord Jesus Christ. Herveus (a 12th century writer, cited by Philip Hughes, *A Commentary on the Epistle to the Hebrews* [Eerdmans], p. 251) applies the truth here to Christ by saying,

If Melchizedek, who was a sign and shadow, is preferred to Abraham and to all the levitical priests, how much more Christ, who is the truth and the substance! ... If a type of Christ is greater than he who has the promises, how much more so is Christ himself!

If Melchizedek could bless Abraham, how much more is the Son of God ready and able to bless those who draw near to God through Him! If we want God's blessings, we should seek them in Christ, because "as many as are the promises of God, in Him they are yes" ([2 Cor. 1:20](#)). What do you need from God? Eternal life? Yes!

Forgiveness of sins? Yes! Inner peace? Yes! Hope? Yes! Joy in the midst of trials? Yes! Grace to endure? Yes! Victory over sin? Yes! Healing from past wounds? Yes! Jesus is the perfect high priest who dispenses God's blessings to those who have His promises. Draw near to Him!

Conclusion

Two concluding applications: First, *what you believe about Jesus Christ makes a huge difference!* The Hebrews were in danger of falling away from the faith because they did not grasp how great Melchizedek is and therefore they did not grasp how much greater the One whom Melchizedek prefigured is.

As I have pointed out many times, the most important question in the world is Jesus' question to the Twelve, "Who do you say that I am?" (See my sermons, "The Most Important Question in the World," from [Mark 8:27-33](#); and, "The Crucial Question," from [Luke 9:18-22](#).) That question has an objectively true answer. Your eternal destiny hinges on your response to that question. If you correctly say from your heart by faith, "Jesus Christ is the Son of God who gave Himself on the cross as the only sacrifice for my sins," you have eternal life! If you diminish Jesus to a lesser role, such as, "He is a great moral example or teacher," then you do not have the high priest that you need when you stand before God for judgment. Any teaching that diminishes the supremacy of Jesus Christ is false teaching!

Second, *seek God continually and fervently in His Word to give you a greater knowledge of the beauty and glory of Jesus Christ.* Paul's lifelong quest as a believer was to "count all things to be loss in view of the surpassing value of knowing Christ Jesus my Lord" ([Phil. 3:8](#)). Samuel Ridout wrote,

As we see the glories of Christ contrasted with the shadows of the law and everything that was connected with an earthly priesthood, well might we say that if faith had apprehended the reality of what Christ was, they would gladly take not only the spoiling of their goods, but also the spoiling of all their earthly hopes, things that they had clung to as so dear before. Once let Christ be apprehended, once let the beauty of His character as our Priest and the blessedness of the place into which He had introduced us be laid hold of by the soul, and the things of earth which would hold us fast, a carnal religion and all else, will lose their hold, even as the leaves drop off the trees in autumn.

So why do you need to know about Melchizedek? Because he is one gateway that God has provided to tell you about Christ. If you want to endure hardship and even persecution, if you want God's blessing on your family and in your personal life, if you want to resist temptation and live a righteous life, seek God for a clearer vision of the glory of Christ. When we are enthralled with Him, "the things of earth will grow strangely dim, in the light of His glory and grace" (Helen Lemmel, "Turn Your Eyes Upon Jesus").

Discussion Questions

1. "The solution to every problem that you face is to know Jesus Christ more accurately and intimately." Is this overly simplistic? (Be honest!) Why/why not?
2. Why did Jesus conceal Himself from the multitudes and reveal Himself only to a limited group (see [Matt. 13:10-17](#))?
3. Are there things that we can do to know Christ more deeply, or is this "predetermined"? If we can do something, what?
4. How can we know if something in the O.T. is a type? Can we take this too far? What principles of interpretation apply?

Copyright, Steven J. Cole, 2004, All Rights Reserved.

Unless otherwise noted, all Scripture Quotations are from the New American Standard Bible, Updated Edition © The Lockman Foundation

From the series: [Hebrews](#)

[Melchizedek, Wikipedia](#)

[Melchizedek, Britannica](#)