

1.17.2023 SHOW NOTES:

**A BRIEF LOOK AT THE AMERICAN EUGENICS
MOVEMENT AND ITS LEGACY OF SOCIAL ENGINEERING**

(All material is used for educational purposes only)

NOTE: I have tried to make sure that none of the stories in this collection are duplicates, but I am allowing for the possibility that I may have missed cross-over referencing.

FURTHERMORE: Many of the articles I have posted here have video links imbedded in them that did not copy over to this page. You might want to reference the original sources for further investigation.

TRUTH COMES TO LIGHT

The Fabian Society, Eugenics and the Historic Forces Behind Today's Systemic Breakdown

By [Matthew Ehret](#) March 12, 2022

The financial system is clearly careening towards a point of dissolution.

It isn't an exaggeration to say that the collapse itself has already happened and we simply have not yet felt the full brutal force of the shockwave accelerating toward us. This process is comparable to a tectonic snap deep in the crust under the ocean. The snap happens and a tsunami has begun. It will hit the beach front with devastating consequences and only by breaking the habit of living in the myopic "moment" might those on the beach have a chance to get to safer ground before it is too late.

The question isn't "will the system collapse", but rather when will the full tsunami hit?

Additionally, WHAT will be the operating system that is brought online to replace the chaos of supply chain meltdowns, hyperinflation, scarcity and violence that will ensue?

Two Systems Clash

Already we can clearly see two opposing patterns that have taken form, illustrated in the remarks recently made by UN Secretary General Antonio Guterres who said:

"I fear our world is creeping towards two different sets of economic, trade, financial, and technology rules, two divergent approaches in the development of artificial intelligence—and ultimately two different military and geo-political strategies. This is a recipe for trouble. It would be far less predictable and far more dangerous than the Cold War."

Guterres is speaking of two divergent paradigms, so what are they?

On the one hand, there is the ideology that Guterres himself devoutly supports which has taken on the title in recent years of "The Davos Agenda", or "[The Great Reset](#)".

Guterres even went so far as to sign UN-WEF integration treaty in June 2020 uniting both globalist bodies into one Borg-like operating system, announcing: "The Great Reset is a welcome recognition that this human tragedy must be a wake-up call. We must build more equal, inclusive and sustainable economies and societies that are more resilient in the face of pandemics, climate change and the many other global changes we face."

While the Great Reset professes to use the current pandemic to push through a complete overhaul of human society under a technocratic world government, the opposing system driven by those nations not invited to the recent “Global Democracy summit” and labelled “authoritarians” by Soros and the Davos clique wish to avoid being sacrificed.

Where one system is premised on a scientifically managed depopulation agenda from the top, the other system asserts the right of sovereign nations to continue as the only legitimate basis for international law and scientific progress to be the basis of economic ideology. The terms of the new system were recently re-emphasized throughout the [5000 word Russia-China Joint Statement](#) on the terms of the New Era now emerging.

Putin himself recently laid out these terms stating: *“Only sovereign states can effectively respond to the challenges of the times and the demands of the citizens. Accordingly, any effective international order should take into account the interests and capabilities of the state and proceed on that basis, and not try to prove that they should not exist. Furthermore, it is impossible to impose anything on anyone, be it the principles underlying the sociopolitical structure or values that someone, for their own reasons, has called “universal”. After all, it is clear that when a real crisis strikes, there is only one universal value left and that is human life, which each state decides for itself how best to protect based on its abilities, culture and traditions.”*

What a breath of fresh air!

Compare that to Klaus Schwab’s infamous “you’ll own nothing and be happy”.

From where did the dystopic world order of the Davos Crowd emerge?

H.G. Wells’ Open Conspiracy

It might surprise you, but to answer that question, we will need to jump back nearly one century into the past and meet an ageing misanthropic social engineer named Herbert George Wells who wrote a 1928 opus called [The Open Conspiracy: Blueprint for a World Revolution](#) calling for world government, and depopulation saying:

“The Open Conspiracy rests upon a disrespect for nationality, and there is no reason why it should tolerate noxious or obstructive governments because they hold their own in this or that patch of human territory.”

Wells was a member of an organization called [The Fabian Society](#) which itself was established in 1884 by a coterie of British eugenicists and Malthusians in order to promote a new social order designed to mold society into a new mechanized order run by a managerial elite of “social scientists” from above.

Throughout the 20th century, the Fabian Society would penetrate all branches of government, military, academia, media and even private corporate boards around the world creating global

systems of fifth columns operating within cells, hierarchically unified by a central command within the highest echelons of British Intelligence.

From below, plebs and laborers would be attracted by “words” promoted by Fabians like equality, social justice, and re-distribution of wealth utilizing Marxist terms while never realizing that those words were just a sweet illusion with no claim to reality.

Like Jesuitical and Masonic orders, many Fabians would never have a clue what the machine truly was that they were merely parts of. This is why the British Labor Party (aka: The Fabian Party of Britain) was so often occupied by well-intended members who never had a clue what the game was truly about. The official Fabian School that became an ideological control hub and recruiting grounds for next generation talent ([paralleling the Rhodes/Milner Round Table's Oxford University](#)) was the London School of Economics.

In fact, over the 20th century, these two oligarchical operations often interfaced closely, with the Fabian Lord Mackinder working with the Round Table's Lord Milner [to craft a strategy for North America in 1908](#) or the [Canadian Fabian Society's founding by five Rhodes Scholars in 1932](#).

HG Wells was explicit in his many works of non-fiction writing in 1904: “The way of nature has always been to slay the hindmost, and there is still no other way, unless we can prevent those who would become the hindmost being born. It is in the sterilization of failure, and not in the selection of successes for breeding, that the possibility of an improvement of the human stock lies.” (3)

Sculpting our Dreams into Nightmares through Storytelling

It is no coincidence that Wells had spent the previous three decades innovating a new form of cultural warfare called “predictive programming”.

Whether it was in his science fiction stories of War of the Worlds, The Invisible Man, The World Set Free, The Island of Doctor Morrow or the Time Machine, Wells always infused trojan horses into his narratives that he knew would have lasting value on conditioning the broader zeitgeist.

These were simply: 1) human nature was intrinsically absurd, selfish and incapable of resolving the duty-freedom paradox in any believable manner, 2) science and technology would thus always be used towards selfish and destructive ends, 3) world government is the only salvation for humanity.

The only solution to such problems was that society had to be recast afresh according to a scientific priesthood which knew how to make the sorts of “hard” decisions that the dirty masses would never have the wits to make themselves. The theme of world government and collectivization of wealth under one central command were also themes advanced by Wells who wrote in 1940:

“Collectivisation means the handling of the common affairs of mankind by a common control responsible to the whole community. It means the suppression of go-as-you-please in social and economic affairs just as much as in international affairs. It means the frank abolition of profit-seeking and of every device by which human beings contrive to be parasitic on their fellow man. It is the practical realisation of the brotherhood of man through a common control”.

The Fabian Society propaganda organ *The New Statesman* wrote in 1931: “The legitimate claims of eugenics are not inherently incompatible with the outlook of the collectivist movement. On the contrary, they would be expected to find their most intransigent opponents amongst those who cling to the individualistic views of parenthood and family economics.”

While genuine socialists who truly cared about labor rights in opposition to oligarchical forces generally didn't get along well with fascists, the peculiar species of Fabian socialists were always united with the fascist cause and always strove to destroy genuine-labor movements in any nation which they were permeating. If only those fascists could be cured of their nationalism wrote Wells, then he would gladly champion the swastika saying in 1932: “I am asking for liberal Fascisti, for enlightened Nazis”

Eugenics and Fascism: Miracle Solutions to the Great Depression

While these words were spoken, the Anglo-American financier oligarchy which Wells served had been well on its way to establishing a global system of political economy designed to impose eugenics onto humanity [through its support for Hitler](#). This new science of government (with its corporatist flavor in Italy) was pushed onto the world as the “economic miracle solution” to the horrors of the great depression of 1929-1932 (itself also the cause of a controlled disintegration of a financial bubble).

Despite the fact that the fascist project failed in 1933 ([when a central bankers dictatorship was derailed by Franklin Roosevelt](#)) and again when the Hitler Frankenstein monster stopped obeying London's orders and had to be put down, the blueprint for a New World Order continued into the post-war age under the design of an open conspiracy.

With Wells' 1946 death, other Fabians and social engineers continued his work during the Cold War (including [designing the Cold War itself](#) as a way to destroy the system of win-win cooperation and [U.S.-Russia-China friendship envisioned by FDR](#)).

Post-War Fascism: Making the Unthinkable Become Thinkable

One of the leading grand strategists during this dark period was Wells' associate (and former Fabian Society member), Lord Bertrand Russell, who wrote in his 1952 *The Impact of Science on Society*:

“I think the subject which will be of most importance politically is mass psychology.... Its importance has been enormously increased by the growth of modern methods of propaganda. Of

these the most influential is what is called 'education'. Religion plays a part, though a diminishing one; the press, the cinema and the radio play an increasing part... it may be hoped that in time anybody will be able to persuade anybody of anything if he can catch the patient young and is provided by the state with money and equipment."

"The subject will make great strides when it is taken up by scientists under a scientific dictatorship. The social psychologists of the future will have a number of classes of school children on whom they will try different methods of producing an unshakable conviction that snow is black. Various results will soon be arrived at. First that the influence of home is obstructive. Second that not much can be done unless indoctrination begins before the age of ten. Thirdly verses set to music and repeatedly intoned are very effective. Fourth that the opinion that snow is white must be held to show a morbid taste for eccentricity. But I anticipate. It is for future scientists to make these maxims precise and discover exactly how much it costs per head to make children believe that snow is black, and how much less it would cost to make them believe it is dark gray."

Russell's dystopic vision was paralleled by his friend Sir Julian Huxley (founder of the United Nations Education, Science and Cultural Organization) in 1946 who said:

"The moral for UNESCO is clear. The task laid upon it of promoting peace and security can never be wholly realised through the means assigned to it- education, science and culture. It must envisage some form of world political unity, whether through a single world government or otherwise, as the only certain means of avoiding war... in its educational programme it can stress the ultimate need for a world political unity and familiarize all peoples with the implications of the transfer of full sovereignty from separate nations to a world organization."

To what end would this "world political unity" be aimed? Several pages later, Huxley's vision is laid out in all of its twisted detail:

"At the moment, it is probable that the indirect effect of civilization is dysgenic instead of eugenic, and in any case it seems likely that the dead weight of genetic stupidity, physical weakness, mental instability and disease proneness, which already exist in the human species will prove too great a burden for real progress to be achieved. Thus even though it is quite true that any radical eugenic policy will be for many years politically and psychologically impossible, it will be important for UNESCO to see that the eugenic problem is examined with the greatest care and that the public mind is informed of the issues at stake so that much that is now unthinkable may at least become thinkable."

The Economic Recolonization of the World

While many think the post-war years to have been shaped primarily by a Cold War, the reality is that the Iron Curtin was always merely a cover to impose a complete infiltration and colonization of the minds of citizens across the Trans Atlantic community that had given so much to stop the rise of fascism. The focus was especially placed on the young "baby boomer" generation who would suffer the most intensive full spectrum conditioning of any generation in history.

While the population was driven into states of insanity throughout the age of constant nuclear terror, asymmetrical wars abroad and drug-sex-rock'n'roll counterculture revolutions domestically.

By the time of Bobby Kennedy's murder, and ouster of de Gaulle, the stage had been set for a new phase of colonialization of western nation states via the floating of the U.S. dollar and the destruction of the gold-reserve system that had served as the bedrock of the post-1945 Bretton Woods system. As long as the exchange rates were fixed then economic warfare against nations via short term speculation (which had always been a tool of the City of London) would not be possible. Additionally, the stability afforded by fixed exchange rates permitted long-term thinking and planning requisite to build large scale infrastructure and other scientific projects that required the sort of patience and foresight that short term market-driven thinking never allowed.

Under this new age of post-1971 deregulation, humanity was further atomized around a new idea of "value" which was driven by the notion that individual desires unbounded by regulation "cause" creative change within supposedly self-regulating forces of the marketplace. The more the formula "greed=good" became embedded within the operating system of western states, the more the broader structures of those states were commandeered by private corporations and banks which increasingly merged and fused into each other during an age of Darwinian "survival of the fittest". The more that these interconnected supranational entities merged, the more those levers of economic power were ripped away from sovereign nation states and into the hands of private finance beholden to forces antagonistic to humanity. During this process, the once productive sectors of the economy that gave vitality to nations were atrophied and outsourced abroad.

Normal rates of investment into the maintenance and improvement of capital-intensive infrastructure seized up and industrial sectors were shut down and moved to cheap labor sectors abroad which themselves became new zones of modern slave labor filling western consumerism with "cheap goods" from China and cheap resources stolen from the global south.

Where monetary growth had formerly been tied to the growth of industrial production, the post-1971 paradigm tied monetary growth to ever increasing rates of unpayable debt and speculative capital unbounded from the real world.

Two Faces of Evil: WEF and Inter-Alpha Group

During that same fateful year of 1971, two other ominous entities were created.

In January 1971, an entity was set up in Switzerland by a protégé of Henry Kissinger named Klaus Schwab titled "The World Economic Forum". One prominent founding member [was Maurice Strong](#), a Rockefeller connected Canadian elitist that had become a founding father of the modern environmental movement and co-architect of the Club of Rome. One of the initiatives that Strong had helped build in 1970 was the [1001 Nature](#) Trust which was project devoted to

raising capital for the World Wildlife Fund for Nature and the new environmental movement. One of the founders of the WWF? Sir Julian Huxley.

The other ominous entity formed in 1971 was the [Rothschild Inter-Alpha Group of banks](#) under the umbrella of the Royal Bank of Scotland. The stated intention of this Group would be found in the 1983 speech by Lord Jacob Rothschild: *“two broad types of giant institutions, the worldwide financial service company and the international commercial bank with a global trading competence, may converge to form the ultimate, all-powerful, many-headed financial conglomerate.”*

What Lord Rothschild was referring to was the destruction of Glass-Steagall bank separation laws across the Trans Atlantic which had kept commercial banking, investment banking and insurance activities compartmentalized in separate worlds since WWII. In 1986, this destruction of the dividing walls in banking began with [Margaret Thatcher’s Big Bang](#) followed soon thereafter by [Canada’s destruction of the Four Pillars](#). Although it took another 14 years, the final nail was put into the Glass-Steagall coffin when Clinton destroyed the law as one of his last acts in office. After this point, derivative contracts that had only accounted for \$2 trillion in 1991, and \$80 trillion in 1999 soon ballooned to over \$650 trillion when the housing market blew out in the USA in 2007.

The Economy Becomes A Bomb

What is important to hold in mind is that through this entire post 1971 process, capitalism itself was thus slowly turned into a time bomb which could do nothing but collapse. This means that it is fatally wrong to consider the abuses of globalization or the collapse now underway as errors, but rather as the intended consequence of the system’s design itself.

The western nation states had lost their economic sovereignty as they sold their futures for the price of cheap goods from abroad making them addicted to keeping poor nations poor and cheap labor cheap (developing, modernizing nations tend to result in qualified, high paid labor not becoming of a banana republic).

And so, humanity slipped ever more into an “end of history” cage that ultimately sought a new world order to replace the old order of nation states and democracies that had governed the previous couple of centuries. More centralized supranational control of nation states by the financier oligarchy occurred behind such “free trade” agreements as NAFTA, and Maastricht in the early 1990s.

This was of course the near unstoppable trend after the Soviet Union’s disintegration (and the replication of western globalization within the short period of the 1990s Shock Therapy of Russia). I say thankfully “near unstoppable” because something very special and unexpected happened to derail this blueprint in 2013.

A New Operating System Emerges

I am here referring to the moment that Xi Jinping made it known to the world that China would not continue as the cheap labor hub of the west indefinitely and instead a new program dubbed the “Belt and Road Initiative” was unveiled as the driving force of China’s foreign policy. Soon this program merged with the Russian-led Eurasian Economic Union, and won over 140 nations of the world to its operating system with branches stretching into the Arctic dubbed the Polar Silk Road. The multipolar system of Eurasia which had been slowly moving forward between 1999-2013 began to take on an accelerated rate of growth with new financial institutions, large scale infrastructure projects, and new diplomatic platforms built up along the way.

By 2015 both Russia and China had created their own alternatives to the U.S.-controlled SWIFT and in that same year Russia entered Syria in defense of the principle of national sovereignty.

Now Russia and China, both encircled by the U.S. military industrial complex, have released a powerful [joint statement establishing a manifesto](#) for a new operating system that enshrines the principle of sovereign nation states, and activities that promote win-win cooperation and population growth as a bedrock of order.

So when Guterres wets his pants complaining about the danger of two opposing systems now emerging, or when Biden’s handlers promote democracy summits that exclude all the nations of the world who don’t want to be sacrificed on the alter of Gaia, you can be sure that it is because something compatible with human dignity has emerged.

Might the current freedom movements springing up across the trans Atlantic force a shift in the elements of the political class that have not lost their humanity to a World Economic Forum borg-like commitment to assimilate everything into a unipolar transhumanist priesthood? That remains to be seen.

(1) [The Origins and Development of the Fabian Society](#), 1884-1900, Stephen J. O’Neil Loyola University Chicago

(2) George Bernard Shaw, Prefaces (London: Constable and Co., 1934), p. 296

(3) H.G. Wells in American Journal of Sociology, Vol. 10 (1904), p. 11

BRITANNICA

NAZI T4 Euthanasia Program

By [Michael Berenbaum](#) Last Updated: Jan 9, 2023

T4 Program, also called **T4 Euthanasia Program**, [Nazi](#) German effort—framed as a [euthanasia](#) program—to kill incurably ill, physically or mentally disabled, emotionally [distraught](#), and [elderly](#) people. [Adolf Hitler](#) initiated the program in 1939, and, while it was officially discontinued in 1941, killings continued covertly until the military defeat of Nazi [Germany](#) in 1945.

In October 1939 Hitler empowered his personal physician and the chief of the Chancellery of the [Führer](#) to kill people considered unsuited to live. He backdated his order to September 1, 1939, the day [World War II](#) began, to give it the appearance of a wartime measure. In this directive, Dr. Karl Brandt and Chancellery chief Philipp Bouhler were “charged with responsibility for expanding the authority of physicians...so that patients considered incurable, according to the best available human judgment of their state of health, can be granted a mercy killing.”

Within a few months the T4 Program—named for the Chancellery offices that directed it from the [Berlin](#) address Tiergartenstrasse 4—involved virtually the entire German psychiatric [community](#). A new [bureaucracy](#), headed by physicians, was established with a [mandate](#) to kill anyone deemed to have a “life unworthy of living.” Some physicians active in the study of [eugenics](#), who saw [Nazism](#) as “applied biology,” enthusiastically [endorsed](#) this program. However, the [criteria](#) for inclusion in this program were not exclusively genetic, nor were they necessarily based on infirmity. An important [criterion](#) was economic. Nazi officials assigned people to this program largely based on their economic productivity. The Nazis referred to the program’s victims as “burdensome lives” and “useless eaters.”

The program’s directors ordered a survey of all psychiatric institutions, hospitals, and homes for chronically ill patients. At Tiergartenstrasse 4, medical experts reviewed forms sent by institutions throughout Germany but did not examine patients or read their medical records. Nevertheless, they had the power to decide life or death.

While the program’s personnel killed people at first by starvation and [lethal injection](#), they later chose asphyxiation by poison gas as the preferred killing technique. Physicians oversaw gassings in chambers disguised as showers, using lethal gas provided by chemists. Program administrators established [gas chambers](#) at six killing centres in Germany and Austria: Hartheim, Sonnenstein, Grafeneck, Bernburg, Hadamar, and Brandenburg. The [SS](#) (Nazi paramilitary corps) staff in charge of the transports donned white coats to keep up the [charade](#) of a medical procedure. Program staff informed victims’ families of the transfer to the killing centres. Visits, however, were not possible. The relatives then received condolence letters, falsified death certificates signed by physicians, and urns containing ashes.

A few doctors protested. Some refused to fill out the requisite forms. The [Roman Catholic church](#), which had not taken a stand on the “Jewish question,” protested the “mercy killings.” Count [Clemens August von Galen](#), the bishop of [Münster](#), openly challenged the regime, arguing that it was the duty of Christians to oppose the taking of human life even if this cost them their own lives.

The transformation of physicians into killers took time and required the appearance of scientific justification. Soon after the Nazis came to power, the Bavarian minister of health proposed that psychopaths, the mentally retarded, and other “inferior” people be isolated and killed. “This policy has already been initiated at our concentration camps,” he noted. A year later, authorities instructed mental institutions throughout the [Reich](#) to “neglect” their patients by withholding food and medical treatment.

Pseudoscientific rationalizations for the killing of the “unworthy” were [bolstered](#) by economic considerations. According to [bureaucratic](#) calculations, the state could put funds that went to the care of criminals and the insane to better use—for example, in loans to newly married couples. Proponents for the program saw incurably sick children as a burden on the healthy body of the *Volk*, the German people. “Wartime is the best time for the elimination of the incurably ill,” Hitler said.

The [murder](#) of the [handicapped](#) was a [precursor](#) to the [Holocaust](#). The killing centres to which the handicapped were transported were the [antecedents](#) of the [extermination camps](#), and their organized transportation foreshadowed mass deportation. Some of the physicians who became specialists in the technology of cold-blooded murder in the late 1930s later staffed the death camps. They had long since lost all their [moral](#), professional, and [ethical](#) inhibitions.

Like the [Judenrat](#) (“Jewish Council”) leaders during the Holocaust, psychiatrists were able to save some patients during the T4 Program, at least temporarily, but only if they cooperated in sending others to their death. The handicapped killing centres developed gas chambers like those later used at extermination camps. As the extermination camps did later, the handicapped killing centres installed ovens to dispose of dead bodies. The death camps that followed took the technology to a new level. The extermination camps could kill thousands at one time and burn their bodies within hours.

On August 24, 1941, almost two years after the T4 Program was initiated, it appeared to [cease](#). In fact, it had gone underground and continued covertly during the war years. While the program claimed over 70,000 victims during its two years of open operation, the killing centres murdered even more victims between the official conclusion of the program and the fall of the Nazi regime in 1945. The total number killed under the T4 Program, including this covert phase, may have reached 200,000 or more. The official conclusion of the T4 Program in 1941 also coincided with the escalation of the Holocaust, the culmination of Nazi programs to eliminate those deemed an embarrassment to the “master race.”

Additional reading (very good):

[The Nazi Euthanasia \(T-4\) Program: Background & Overview](#)

[The Oil for Your Lamp](#)

[CONNECTING THE DOTS: Eugenics Raises Its Head Again](#)

Black3Actual, July 1, 2015

I have tried to warn people that this nation is far, far down the path that Germany traveled in the 1930's:

[PIECES OF THE PUZZLE: Still Think We Aren't Walking The Same Road As 1930's Germany?](#)

The Holocaust started with the killing of the mentally ill, disabled and other socially 'undesirables.' The ideas came directly from the American Eugenics movement — which also lead to Planned Parenthood. This same spirit has held America in its grips for more than 100 years now, so why should this surprise us?

[Princeton professor calls for killing disabled infants under Obamacare](#)

Compare Obamacare to Hitler's [T4 program](#). And remember, Obamacare officials have already said their program will withhold care for the elderly:

[PIECES OF THE PUZZLE: Obamacare Already Paying Intended Dividends](#)

[PIECES OF THE PUZZLE: Evidence Of The Progressive's Eugenics Legacy In Obamacare](#)

The Oil for Your Lamp

Social Engineering: Eugenics And The Progressives

Al Gore Confirms That Eugenics Is Alive And Well In Modern America

Black3Actual, August 27, 2013

This post is part of a series of posts that illustrate the 'Spirits and Types' behind the agendas that drive our world. In this series, I will indirectly illustrate these 'Spirits and Types' by showing how they manifest themselves into political agendas. This will be done by highlighting specific figures from our modern world and drawing the connections between their ideology and the historic roots behind their ideas. In this manner, it is hoped that the history of these original ideas will be sufficiently self-evident to allow the reader to draw the proper conclusions about their modern manifestations and the people pushing them. If you are on the side of truth, once you learn the connections, you will see the agenda for what it really is. Now, let me show you how Al Gore is promoting an idea that is directly connected to Adolf Hitler.

I simply cannot put it any better than the explanation in this clip. I urge you – I strongly urge you – put aside any feelings you may have about the man and listen to the information he is presenting in [this video clip](#)...because it is not his opinion, ***it is historic fact!***

https://youtu.be/YY_TrlZpAY0

Now, if you do not know what motivated the comments in the video above, [here is the Al Gore segment](#) to which Beck is reacting:

<https://youtu.be/PNbZx7CFQsA>

The important part of Beck's video really starts around the 8-9 minute mark. Before that, he is giving you the historic background; the part that is necessary to understand how and why the rest of what he says from that 8-9 minute mark forward is true. Still, Beck is speaking to his audience; therefore, it is assumed that the majority of the people who are listening already know the background because he has spent the past 5 years or more trying to educate those who would listen. I am among them, but that's not how I started listening to Beck.

When I first heard this man, I thought he was an intellectual clown – because he was. But he did something that we should all try to do: when he ran into things that did not make sense to him, he started to research them. He did this by going back to the original source of the information (*as much as possible*). And he accepted what he found as fact. From there, the hard part is dealing with the new reality to which you will suddenly awaken. I know because I was a 'good

conservative' back then, and much of what Beck was telling me was wrong (*at least in my head*). So I set out to prove he was lying. The problem is, I found out he is not only telling us the truth, he is keeping some of the uglier stuff from us because it is too hard for most people to face.

So, this is what Beck has been doing for more than five years now: trying to educate people and to encourage them to do their own homework. He will tell you to do this – all the time. And that is what The OYL is: my attempt to educate people as to what I have learned and to encourage others to think for themselves, as well. Beck and I do not walk in lock-step. I disagree with him on several issues. In some cases, it is a profound disagreement. However, I have found that where I disagree, it is not because Beck doesn't know the same information I have discovered, because he does. It is because – and this is my opinion – he simply cannot bring himself to accept the implications of the conclusions I have drawn. However, when it comes to the American Progressives and their continued adherence to the idea of a scientifically engineered society, Beck and I see things identically.

If you have never heard of George Bernard Shaw, he was a famous play write from the early 1900's. He was also a founding father of the Fabian Socialist movement in England. The Fabians spawned Lenin and helped to organize the American Progressive movement. They also gave Hitler the idea for the gas chamber. Watch, and understand that this man is deadly serious:

<https://youtu.be/hQvsf2MUKRQ>

<https://youtu.be/mW-iy-9m9SU>

<https://youtu.be/m0fHMIjvLE>

In that last clip, Shaw makes a strong point about his ability to look stern or amiable according to the purpose at hand. This is important because it is connected to the symbol of the Fabian Socialists – the wolf in sheep's clothing. The Fascists admired the hard stance and stern look, so they did not care to hide it. You can see this in Hitler and Mussolini. But the Fabians realized this worked against the Fascists where the Anglo part of the Western world was concerned. So they started to hide their true face, which is what Shaw is actually explaining – right in front of the American cameras. American's just didn't understand this because they had not read what the Fabians had written to themselves where they explained this. Had they done so, Shaw might not have been so well received in this nation, and we might still understand that the kindly public persona of so many modern Progressives is a mask.

Case in point: when considering the following link, keep in mind what you have just heard Al Gore say about genetics and conservatism, and how it is directly connected to Hitler and the gas chambers (*and, if you go back far enough, to American Margret Sanger and Planned Parenthood*). Now, read the headline and instead of "Conservative," read "Jew" and ask yourself where people who think like Sanger, Shaw, Hitler and Gore might take us if we accept this line of reasoning?

Judicial Watch Obtains Defense Department Teaching Documents Suggests “Extremists” to Mainstream Conservative Views

The agenda here is control: “science” is merely the excuse.

The Oil for Your Lamp

AGENDAS: They WILL Tell You Who They Are...IF You Listen

Sean Penn Demonstrates He Has The Heart Of A Collectivist Monster

Black3Actual, October 29, 2013

Once again, a leading voice of the American Progressive Left has demonstrated – by his words – that he has a heart inclined toward controlling those who disagree with him. This time, Sean Penn says that Ted Cruz and the TEA Party are insane and should be committed by executive order:

[Far-Left Hollywood Actor: 'My American Brother' Ted Cruz, Tea Party Lawmakers Need to Be Committed to Asylum by 'Executive Order'](#)

"There's a mental health problem in Congress," Penn proclaimed. "This would be solved by committing them by executive order, I think. Because these are our American brothers and sisters, we shouldn't be criticizing them, attacking them ... this is a cry for help."

"You literally commit what, people like Ted Cruz?" Morgan asked.

"He's my American brother. I think we should take care of him, he is in trouble," Penn replied. "I think it's a good idea."

Now, to be fair, the story points out that Penn appears to be joking. However, many a truth is said in jest, and given that Penn has a great affinity for other Marxist dictators and tyrants, it is likely that this is one of those times. Silencing opposition rather than defending free speech is not the mark of a Liberal; it is the mark of a tyrant. And seeking to do so through executive order and not through due process is also a mark of a tyrant. Even joking about and seeking to marginalize your opponent on a personal level – as Penn is doing – are marks of a tyrant. Which brings another old saying: if it looks like a duck, walks like a duck and quacks like a duck...

Notice that Ted Cruz and Senator Lee are both seeking to protect and preserve individual rights, whereas Penn and the Progressives seek only to consolidate and grow their power at the expense of minority groups. The groups they most often demonize are those from whom they can steal money with which to buy the votes of the moochers and leaches who do not have the courage to steal for themselves, and those who are most effective in opposing their agenda. If you join and support their cause, they shower favors on you. If not, they make you into their scape goat. However, as some former supporters of the Progressive Left have started to discover, once

you become a liability to them, they will throw you under the bus. Just ask the NSA, or that Obamacare worker who did nothing more than answer some questions with the truth.

Now, if Penn is really worried about people who exhibit real signs of mental instability, he should look in the mirror, and to those who share his political ideology. First, he is aligned with a group of people who share an ideology that is responsible for killing more people than the rest of history combined. Collectivists – in all their many forms – have murdered more than 150 million people, and that was just in the 20th Century. Then there is the matter of the Collectivist believing he/she can alter reality through nothing more than changing the word by which they call something; or, if that doesn't work, by ignoring it. They believe their will is enough to make something real. These are real signs of mental illness and, were it not connected to politics, it could and most likely would be clinically diagnosed as such. For more of why I say this, consider this article:

[The Liberal Something-for-Nothing Mental Illness](#)

Once again, the Progressive Left has admitted who they are in their heart of hearts, and – through their words – they have shown that the words of [Isaiah 5:20-21](#) apply to them and their ideology.

[NOTE: Penn is not alone in this notion. Bill Mahre has made comments similar to this, as have other Left-wing Progressives. Al Gore has gone so far as to suggest “conservatives” suffer from genetic defect, and – in the interview where he said this — he even hinted that screening could be used to make sure those unborn children who are pre-disposed toward conservatism could and should be aborted. Do not be shocked. Remember, the eugenics movement and the notion of a master race are American Progressive inventions. They started with the like of Theodore Roosevelt and Margaret Sanger.]

The Oil for Your Lamp

AGENDAS: Just Replace the 'Jewish Question' with the 'Conservative Question'

Black3Actual, January 19, 2014

If you do not know history, and you do not know how to reason, then you cannot recognize the patterns in history when you see them starting to repeat. Instead of being able to see that a principle can manifest in many different ways, you will be prone to looking at the different ways it manifests itself and determine that they have nothing in common with the past. Thus, you will totally miss the principle in lay. This is why, when those who know history look back on it, they see the same patterns repeating over and over again. This is why we are missing the warning signs today.

Here are just two headlines that illustrate my point. I could have chosen any number of many such headlines, but these two will work for the issue at hand:

Experts: Gore's right on bio-politics

*"He's absolutely right that there are differences in temperament [between liberals and conservatives], **and science** has been able to shed some light on that," Professor John Hibbing of the University of Nebraska, an expert on the relationship between biology and political orientation, said in an interview with POLITICO.*

New York Gov. Andrew Cuomo: Some Conservatives 'Have No Place in the State of New York'

*New York Gov. Andrew Cuomo said in a radio interview that if "extreme conservatives" are "right-to-life, pro-assault-weapon, anti-gay," then **"they have no place in the state of New York, because that's not who New Yorkers are."***

Now, if you do not know the history of the [Holocaust](#), and the arguments Hitler used to justify his 'final solution,' then you may not know that Hitler used 'science' as part of his justification. According to Hitler, 'science' had 'proven' that everyone not of Aryan stock was inferior, especially Jews – just as Al Gore is arguing in the first story. But Hitler also used the claim that Germans had more of a right to Germany and other Germanic regions than non-Germans – much the same as Cuomo is saying in the second story. Nor do you know that Al Gore is talking about the purposeful manipulation of genetics to direct the evolution of mankind. This is exactly what [Hitler's master race](#) was all about: the purposeful direction of the evolution of mankind. It is all tied to [Eugenics](#), and Eugenics can be traced directly back to the United States and the Progressive movement.

I have tried to warn people that America is currently traveling down the same path Germany traveled in the 1930's. This notion that science can 'prove' "conservatism" is a threat is just one similarity. The ever increasing complaints about the deadlock in Congress and the threat to declare an emergency and rule by decree is another. *We are on the same road!* We just don't see it because we do not understand how to use reason. In short, though we think we have 'evolved,' we have actually regressed. If we hadn't, this is what we would see in these two stories.

First, Al Gore has said that global warming deniers are equivalent to racists, alcoholics, smokers and homophobes:

[Al Gore: Climate Change Deniers Like Racists, Homophobes, Alcoholics, Smokers](#)

Now see the connection here: it is not that conservatives are just wrong, but they are genetically made that way. This is the implication between both of the Al Gore stories, which will lead to the inevitable conclusion that we need to use 'science' to eliminate those babies who are going to be predisposed to become conservative. The notion is that conservatives are wrong about things that directly affect the survival of the species, therefore, they are not just 'ugly people,' they are a threat to the survival of humanity. This will then be used to justify the elimination of conservatives. This is the exact same pattern and argument Hitler used, only Al Gore and those like him have replaced 'Jews' with 'Conservatives.'

The problem here is that everything Al Gore is asserting as true is nothing more than subjective value judgments. 'Science' has not proven global warming is real any more than it proved Aryans superior to other races, this is just the boogey-man they need to create the fear necessary to drive the rest of their agenda. And 'homophobia,' 'racism,' 'smoking,' etc are all value judgments that may and may not have an objective foundation, just as many of the assertions Hitler made had little objective foundation behind it. It's the same pattern, just different particulars. Whereas Hitler claimed that 'science' had 'proven' Jews were the cause of Germany's problems to justify his 'final solution,' Al Gore and Cuomo (*and those like them*) are blaming "conservatives" for all the world's ills and pointing to 'science' to support their claims. Once enough people buy this sort of propaganda, the natural conclusion is inevitable: eliminate the threat to society for the sake of society. And that's how you get to the point where people think this sounds reasonable:

<https://youtu.be/hQvsf2MUKRQ>

NOTE: You must watch this *entire* video — and watch it carefully, all the way to the end; because it is at the end where the connection between Hitler and American Progressives is made clear.

ADDENDUM

To those who are aware that the NAZI formula included control of the media so opposing voices could not be heard and taking jobs away from anyone who associated with groups that the government did not like, there are these two stories:

[Far-left Salon is dreaming of a 'very different media' where this article probably wouldn't even exist](#)

The folks over at far-left-leaning.com are dreaming of a "very different media," one where Fox News and conservative radio host Rush Limbaugh no longer exist. The website on Saturday published excerpts from "[Imagine: Living in a Socialist USA](#)" to advocate for the kind of media that would exist if the "people had their voices heard."

[Something Unbelievable Happened to Famous Latino Actress Since Appearing in Conservative Campaign Ad](#)

*"Of course she has the right to say whatever she wants. But we're in the middle of the Mission," Lopez told KPIX. "**Doing what she is doing is against what we believe.**"*

Hopefully you will see that the parallels are here, and that it isn't just one or two things, but nearly every important point the NAZI's used to seize power.

[The Oil for Your Lamp](#)

[AGENDAS: 'Science' claims Social Conservatives are Mentally Disturbed](#)

Black3Actual, January 24, 2014

The mentality that led Germany to accept Hitler's '[final solution](#)' to the '[Jewish problem](#)' has never left the minds of those who consider themselves to be the elite within Western Civilization. It just morphed into another form (*this is how evil always operates, and that's because it cannot survive in the light of day*). Today, this mentality thrives in our society, but then, it is only fitting that it should do so since the Germans got the idea for the Holocaust from the [American Eugenics movement](#). The only thing that has changed is that, today, they no longer seek to eliminate races, but political ideologies. Instead of Jews, today, the boogey man is 'conservatism.' Both then (*the NAZI's toward the Jews and Americans toward blacks and other minorities*) and now (*Progressives toward conservatives*), 'science' was the justification for what can only be defined as evil. I wrote about this previously in my post, [AGENDAS: Just Replace the 'Jewish Question' with the 'Conservative Question'](#). So I suppose this post should be seen as a continuation of this first one. This time, I provide more evidence that the people seeking to establish a 'New World Order' are setting the stage to use 'science' to declare conservatives to be mentally disturbed, thereby justifying some future 'solution' that – without this 'scientific justification' – might otherwise be thought wrong.

First, we need to understand something. The notion of a 'New World Order' is not new. It has been around for many, many centuries and it has taken different forms and been pushed by different people throughout this time. Thus, to those who do not properly understand what the term means, it might seem like the stuff of conspiracy theory: a boogey man set up by people who just want to scare others because of their own insecurities. Now, if yours is a materialistic, secular-humanist world view, I will concede that this would be a reasonable conclusion. After all, man has been trying to rule the world since time began, but he has never truly succeeded, so why should we believe he will succeed this time? But to those who see the world through a spiritual lens; to those who recognize the struggle between good and evil; the term 'New World Order' means something entirely different than just a global government. The current order has been ordained by the Creator, and He rules over the universe through His Natural Law. But when boastful people declare they will ordain a 'New World Order,' they do not mean just a global government, but a world order that operates in contradiction to God's Natural Laws. And in this sense, every attempt to create a world government since the time of Babel has shared this same spiritual goal: to remove God from his throne and replace Him with man. So, when I use the term, 'New World Order,' it is to be understood in this sense: in the sense of spiritual warfare and rebellious man's attempt to replace God and God's law with himself and laws of his own creation.

With this said, I want you to understand that this is exactly what Hitler was talking about when he spoke of creating the 'Master Race;' it is what Marx was talking about when he dreamed of his Communist utopia; and it is what the Fabian Socialists and Progressive are still trying to create today. They said so then and they continue to say it, we just don't understand what they mean when we read their words:

"To achieve world government, it is necessary to remove from the minds of men their individualism, loyalty to family tradition, national patriotism, and religious dogmas."

—Dr. George Brock Chisholm, 1959 Humanist of the Year and former head of World Health Organization, in the February 1946 issue of Psychiatry

Now, before you dismiss this, think about how much you really know about what Scripture teaches concerning these areas. Scripture stresses the individual. It teaches us to seek a personal relationship with God, and that is all about individualism. But it also teaches us to work, to care for our family – especially those under our own roof – that those who do not work should not eat and that those who work – rich or poor – are responsible for the care of those in worse shape than themselves. That is individualism in a nutshell. But the Bible also stresses the family as the smallest unit of government in society. The family is the cornerstone to building God's model of how society should work. It is where His word is taught to the children, and the way God wants our relationship with Him to be modeled. Patriotism is also taught in Scripture, as well as faith. All of these things are part of God's Natural Law, and Chisholm is asserting that everyone must be destroyed before a 'New World Order' can be created. Do you see it now? Do you understand?

Next, I draw your attention to the fact that Chisholm was speaking to psychiatrist in the publication Psychiatry. Why is this important? First, it holds the public image of being 'science.' But second, because his assertions have not gone away, they have actually been expanded upon. The following are quotes from the field of psychiatry and can be [found here](#):

CONSERVATIVES ARE CRAZY:

"In August 2003, the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) and the National Science Foundation (NSF) announced the results of their \$1.2 million taxpayer-funded study. It stated, essentially, that **traditionalists are mentally disturbed**. Scholars from the Universities of Maryland, California at Berkeley, and Stanford had determined that **social conservatives, in particular, suffer from 'mental rigidity,' 'dogmatism,' and 'uncertainty avoidance,' together with associated indicators for mental illness.**"

—B.K. Eakman, [Chronicles, October 2004, pp. 28-29](#) "Political conservatism as motivated social cognition" By Jost, John T.; Glaser, Jack; Kruglanski, Arie W.; Sulloway, Frank J. *APA Psychological Bulletin*, May 2003, Vol 129(3), p 339-375

CHILDREN NEED TO BE INDOCTRINATED BY THE STATE

“Every child in America entering school at the age of five is insane because he comes to school with certain allegiances to our founding fathers, toward our elected officials, toward his parents, toward a belief in a supernatural being, and toward the sovereignty of this nation as a separate entity. It’s up to you as teachers to make all these sick children well – by creating the international child of the future”

–Dr. Chester M. Pierce, Psychiatrist, address to the Childhood International Education Seminar, 1973

Teaching school children to read was a “perversion” and high literacy rate bred “the sustaining force behind individualism.”

–John Dewey, Educational Psychologist

“Education should aim at destroying free will so that after pupils are thus schooled they will be incapable throughout the rest of their lives of thinking or acting otherwise than as their school masters would have wished ... The social psychologist of the future will have a number of classes of school children on whom they will try different methods of producing an unshakable conviction that snow is black. Various results will soon be arrived at: first, that influences of the home are ‘obstructive’ and verses set to music and repeatedly intoned are very effective ... It is for the future scientist to make these maxims precise and discover exactly how much it costs per head to make children believe that snow is black. When the technique has been perfected, every government that has been in charge of education for more than one generation will be able to control its subjects securely without the need of armies or policemen.”

–Bertrand Russell quoting Johann Gottlieb Fichte, the head of philosophy & psychology who influenced Hegel and others – Prussian University in Berlin, 1810

RELIGION IS A NEUROSES

“In short, the nature of the hallucinations of Jesus, as they are described in the orthodox Gospels, permits us to conclude that the founder of the Christian religion was afflicted with religious paranoia.”

–Psychiatrist Dr. Charles Binet-Sangle: *La Folie de Jesus* (The Madness of Jesus), 1910

“...humanists still believe that traditional theism, especially faith in the prayer-hearing God, assumed to love and care for persons, to hear and understand their prayers, and to be able to do something about them, is an unproved and outmoded faith.” “Traditional moral codes... fail to meet the pressing needs of today and tomorrow...” “Promises of immortal salvation or fear of

eternal damnation are both illusory and harmful... The total personality is a function of the biological organism transacting in a social and cultural context. There is no credible evidence that life survives the death of the body."

—*The Humanist Manifesto 2, 1973*

"All neurotics seek the religious"

—**Psychologist Carl Jung**

SOCIETY SHOULD BE AS SLAVES

"We can choose to use our growing knowledge to enslave people in ways never dreamed of before, depersonalizing them, controlling them by means so carefully selected that they will perhaps never be aware of their loss of personhood."

—**Carl R. Rodgers, Former President of the American Psychological Association (APA)**

"It will of course, be understood that directly or indirectly, soon or late, every advance in the sciences of human nature will contribute to our success in controlling human nature and changing it to the advantage of the common wheel."

—**Edward Thorndike, Key Psychology Theorist, member of the "Eugenics Committee of the USA"**

"We need a program of psychosurgery for political control of our society. The purpose is physical control of the mind. Everyone who deviates from the given norm can be surgically mutilated. The individual may think that the most important reality is his own existence, but this is only his personal point of view. . . **Man does not have the right to develop his own mind. . . . We must electronically control the brain. Someday armies and generals will be controlled by electronic stimulation of the brain."**

—**Dr. Jose M.R. Delgado, Director of Neuropsychiatry at Yale University Medical School, Congressional Record, No. 26, Vol. 118, Feb. 24, 1974. Delgado was reported as part of the "MK-Ultra" CIA mind-control program.**

THESE PEOPLE HATE AMERICA

"America is a mistake, admittedly a gigantic mistake, but a mistake nevertheless."

—**Sigmund Freud**

THEY WANT WORLD GOVERNMENT

“Principles of mental health cannot be successfully furthered in any society unless there is progressive acceptance of the concept of world citizenship. World citizenship can be widely extended among all peoples through applications of the principles of mental health.”

—National Association for Mental Health, 1948

“One of the least understood strategies of the world revolution now moving rapidly toward its goal is the use of mind control as a major means of obtaining the consent of the people who will be subjects of the New World Order.”

—K.M. Heaton, *The National Educator*

AND FOR THE GRAND FINALE’

“...legal systems have been established in a majority of states for involuntary and compulsory hospitalization and treatment of neurosis. These state laws have been based on a prototype bill published originally by the Federal Security Agency of the Public Health Service, now a part of the Department of Health, Education & Welfare. Entitled a *Draft Act Governing Hospitalization of the Mentally Ill*, it is, in fact, a skeleton bill designed for adoption uniformly by federal, state, and territorial governments to radically alter commitment procedures... if you won’t consent to voluntary treatment, a police officer can arrest you. You can be subjected to 3 to 5 days of treatment of the psychiatrist’s choosing before you even get a hearing to protect your rights in most states. Treatment can include electric shock treatments, chemotherapy, hypnosis or conceivably a frontal lobotomy... **Basically, all that is necessary to revoke all the constitutional rights of any citizen is to accuse him of being mentally-ill.**”

—John A. Stormer, “None Dare Call it Treason”

Remember, they are already declaring ‘conservatism’ to be a mental illness, and even saying they can ‘identify’ conservatives while still in the womb. And now that they have Obamacare in place, they do not need Congress to declare you ‘mentally ill.’ Obama can do that by decree through the agencies he commands which, in turn, run the healthcare system. So, how do you feel about Obamacare now?

And did you notice the connection between ‘science’ and the way it justifies the State-directed efforts of ‘mental health’ and ‘education?’ Now, if you cannot see that this is exactly what is happening to us – to you and to me – then I’ll ask you again: how long has it been since you read [Huxley’s “Brave New World”](#) and [Orwell’s “1984?”](#)

The truth is, there is an insanity here: it’s just on the other side of these ominous words. And it is grounded in an inability to recognize and acknowledge objective reality. That is not a ‘conservative’ trait: but it is a trait common to every ‘monster’ in recorded human history. Now

just imagine what will happen when one of these monsters manages to get his hands on control of the entire globe rather than just a nation or empire. Why, it would be almost like the Anti-Christ will have come. But then, that would be more neurosis to think that such a thing could have been foretold so many thousands of years ago...

www.PsychQuotes.com

[The Oil for Your Lamp](#)

[AGENDAS: Constructing the Argument for the American Version of the 'Final Solution'](#)

Black3Actual, May 22, 2014

If you are one of those who are tired of or reject my comparison of modern America to 1930's Germany, **then you need to read this more than most!** I have been trying to show the modern manifestations of the principles that drove the NAZI Party in the 1930's to show that we – modern America – are traveling the exact same road. The principles are the same. It is how those principles are advanced that is different. That's all. And, if you know the history of 1930's Germany, and you are paying attention to current events, then you should see the connections. If you don't, then it is likely that you are blind to them because you agree with them. In which case, you probably agree with the people who are starting to lay the ground work for the American version of ['the Final Solution.'](#)

Hitler hated the Jews. He blamed them for all his and Germany's ills and he went to great lengths to construct a 'reasoned' argument for his hatred. Today, because our education system no longer teaches but indoctrinates, we no longer understand that the organ of higher learning in Germany was turned against to the Jews. But it's true: academia helped Hitler construct an argument for why the Jew was guilty of corrupting and destroying Germany. You might want to read [Hitler's Professors: The Part of Scholarship in Germany's Crimes Against the Jewish People.](#) Science also added its hand to making a 'scientific' case against the Jew, claiming to have 'proven' the Jew was barely human (*this is eugenics, and it came from America where 'science' had been used to 'prove' the Negro was 'barely human'*). Hitler also used his persecution of the Jews to enlist the aid of industry, and even the German people. By sharing some of the wealth he confiscated from the Jews, Hitler was able to literally 'buy' the compliance of the German people and open assistance of German industry. You can read about this in [Hitler's Beneficiaries: Plunder, Racial War, and the Nazi Welfare State.](#) When you understand the pattern, you understand that Hitler used the Jew as a scapegoat. He focused the peoples' hatred and anger on the Jew, then used that as an excuse to take their property – part of which was used to buy the people – and, finally, when he had used them for all they were worth, Hitler set out to exterminate the Jews. We are starting to see the same pattern in America, only – this time – it is not 'the Jew,' but 'the Conservative' who is being made into a scapegoat.

I have already written about the 'scientific' case against conservatives: [AGENDAS: 'Science' claims Social Conservatives are Mentally Disturbed.](#) But you can also find it here: [Pathologizing Conservatism: Is it an unfortunate evolutionary holdover, or the product of bad upbringing?](#) You will find the same claim that conservatives are 'mentally ill' coming from the likes of 'experts' such as Sean Penn: [AGENDAS: They WILL Tell You Who They Are...IF You Listen,](#) and Al Gore, a modern day equivalent of George Bernard Shaw: [Social Engineering: Eugenics And The](#)

Progressives. The evidence is everywhere – if you know what to look for and you are actually bothering to look.

Now that the case has been made, we are starting to see the first steps by the Left to act on their lies. In this case, it is just a story about how Conservatives are starting to be censored and to lose their jobs because of their political views (*which is pretty much how the persecution against the Jews started under Hitler*):

Will Conservatives be Sent to Reeducation Camps?

Now, we shouldn't be too quick to dismiss this claim. Al Gore has also said that 'science' will soon be able to identify those unborn babies which will be likely to display 'conservative tendencies.' Now, I ask you, why even study such a thing unless you have a plan to do something with that information? I'll leave you to draw your own conclusions from it, but I know what they are planning because I know what these people believe – and why. After all, Al Gore has already admitted 'they' believe 'they' can intentionally engineer the society they desire – like society was just another piece of machinery or architecture:

On Morning Joe, Gore told the MSNBC hosts:

The scientists now know that there is in human nature a divide between what we sometimes call liberals and conservatives, and it gives an advantage, you can speculate, to the human species to have some people who are temperamentally inclined to try to change the future and experiment with new things, and others who are temperamentally inclined to say, wait a minute, not too fast.

On the surface, Gore's words do not seem to be menacing. But this is because we do not connect them to the sentiment common to those of Gore's political philosophy. This is what 'Progressives' refer to as a 'dog whistle:' a statement that sounds harmless to the average person, but which contains a message only those familiar with the doctrine behind it will hear and understand. Progressives, like Al Gore, make these sort of statements all the time, and unless you understand what they really mean, you will not see the threat they contain.

What Al Gore was clearly trying to imply is that 'conservatives' are 'obsolete,' and the future belongs to men of 'action.' But these are actually words similar to those spoken by Mussolini and Hitler in the 1930's, back when FDR openly admired and envied them both for their freedom of administration. You see, at that time, 'dictator' did not leave a bad taste in peoples' mouths. Hitler and Mussolini built their movements around the youth in their society, and stressed the need to act quickly over the need to recognize 'tradition.' Now, if you are astute, and you pay attention, you will notice that Occupy Wall Street and other such movements (*the 1960's counter-culture movement*) were built around the youth, and the leaders were crying for action, saying they 'have a pen and a phone.' This is why I know what Gore means when he says conservatives say 'wait a minute' when others want to 'try to change the future.' Because I have

heard this music before, and it always ends the same way. This – in part — is why I wrote this post:

APOLOGETICS: Rejection of Tradition Negates 'Evolution'

The spirit that has driven this nation's leaders, even its people, for the past 100+ years is the same spirit that drove Hitler and the NAZI's. It does not matter what the stated goal is, the immediate objective is control over the lives of all people. Likewise, what is implicit in all cases is the assumption that those driving the agenda (*i.e. the people in control*) are somehow exempt from their own rules. They see themselves as having a moral duty to force their vision on humanity for the good of humanity – even if it means millions must die. Wrapped up in all this is the adherence to moral relativism and a belief that the ends justify the means. All of it is born of an over-inflated sense of self. These people have god complexes. They think they are somehow above 'the little people.' So they give no thought to the consequences of their actions. All they can see is their own dream, and – sooner or later — anyone and everyone they think is standing in their way will be eliminated. All they need is the proper 'excuse' to justify their actions. This is why Hitler went to so much trouble to get science and academia as well as industry to agree that the Jews was the source of Germany's troubles, and that is why the Left is going to so much trouble to get 'science' and 'academia' to agree that 'Conservatives' are the source of our modern troubles. Eventually, they will propose a similar solution to the 'Conservative question' as Hitler did for the 'Jewish question.' It is how the spirit that controls them has and will always operate to destroy men's souls.

The Oil for Your Lamp

TRUTH & AGENDAS: They are Openly Admitting to and Advocating for Indoctrination of Children

Black3Actual, May 23, 2014

If you read my blog on a regular basis, then you are probably aware that I believe there are people building a system of control over our entire society and the world. Some call it a 'New World Order.' You may also be aware that I have said, if you know where to look and what to look for, you will find that these people actually boast about what they want to do and how they plan to do it. I realize many people think this is the stuff of insane conspiracy theories. The more I try to show them the connections that paint the larger picture for them, the more they see a guy wearing a tinfoil hat (me) pointing to a bunch of unconnected lines on a wall and begging them to see something that isn't there. You may even be one of these people who think I am insane. Well, whether you agree with my arguments or not, if you care about the future of humanity, ***you need to read and share this post!***

This time, the issue is the seizure of our public education system so that it can be turned into a system of indoctrination for the production of government-compliant drones. In this case, the story has been written by one of the men who – in all probability – have actually helped to construct this system of indoctrination. Still, even if he is innocent of this accusation, it is worth reading this article because it is a clear and powerful example of what I mean when I say they openly boast about what they want to do and how they plan to do it:

Sunstein: How easy is it to indoctrinate students? Easy

Suppose that an authoritarian government decides to embark on a program of curricular reform, with the explicit goal of indoctrinating the nation's high school students. Suppose that it wants to change the curriculum to teach students that their government is good and trustworthy, that their system is democratic and committed to the rule of law, and that free markets are a big problem.

Will such a government succeed? Or will high school students simply roll their eyes?

Suppose?! Cass Sunstein is fully aware that this is exactly what the United States has been doing for nearly 100 years. Just as American 'science' was the original source/motivation for the Holocaust (*i.e. eugenics*), American 'science' was also the original source of all manipulated indoctrination. It started with Woodrow Wilson and the men surrounding him. In this case, it is directly connected to a man named Edward Bernays. He is known as the father of propaganda (*something else the NAZI's said they learned from Americans*), but Bernays is also credited with inventing the modern practice of mass advertising, from which we also derived 'Public Relations.'

The connection is that these are all designed to control what and how people think without them being consciously aware of being controlled. Well, there was another side to this process and it came from the father of the modern American education system, John Dewey.

Here is the part that Sunstein knows but does not say: Dewey started the purposeful use of public education to produce 'citizens' who will comply with whatever the State tells them to do. In the story above, Sunstein implies that no one has 'proven' that education can be used to indoctrinate until this current study, but this is a lie. It has been known since at least the time of Dewey. We know this because Dewey said so:

“Were all instructors to realize that the quality of mental process, not the production of correct answers, is the measure of educative growth something hardly less than a revolution in teaching would be worked.”

In other words, teachers should teach children *what* to think, not *how* to think. How do we know this is what Dewey actually meant? Because he said so:

“Children who know how to think for themselves spoil the harmony of the collective society which is coming where everyone is interdependent.”

So, when Sunstein says that education can be used to teach people to believe in the 'proper world view,' he means education can be used to teach children to accept and defend the world view of their masters. Once again, we know this is true because they said so. In fact, Dewey – a secular humanist – believed that man is his own god (*individually*) and that teachers were part of the process for teaching children to accept and defend the 'proper world view' their masters believe in. To Dewey, this 'proper world view' was the only proper 'religion:'

“The teacher is engaged not simply in the training of individuals, but in the formation of the proper social life.... In this way, the teacher always is the prophet of the true God and the usherer-in of the true Kingdom of God.”

Dewey and Sunstein are the anchors that prove this agenda is the truth, and that stretches through American history from the 1920's to the modern times. Both men want the same thing: total control of society. This is because both believe they have a superior understanding of what has to be done to mankind – for the good of all. Both see the collective, not the individual. And both see themselves as being the natural choice to direct this 'perfection' of society. Unfortunately, this leads both men to see children as things that need to be programmed, and education as a means to achieve this programming:

“Education is a regulation of the process of coming to share in the social consciousness; and that the adjustment of individual activity on the basis of this social consciousness is the only sure method of social reconstruction.”

–John Dewey

It may be cliché, but that doesn't mean it isn't true: the best example of what these people want to build is a society similar to the [Star Trek series race of Borg](#). With the rise in talk about blending man and machine, the illustration is even more accurate than many may realize. For those of us who are a little older and come from a time when our schools were still trying to teach us how to think rather than what to think, we could also hearken back to Orwell's "[1984](#)" and Huxley's "[Brave New World](#)." Both books were actually boasting about what was coming. If you know the books, you should be able to see the constant monitoring of everything we do from "1984" blending with the idea of free sex and taboo against 'bigotry' in "Brave New World."

Now, if you will allow me a few more moments of your time, let me hammer home the fact that it is well-known that education can be used to control children for their entire life:

"The education of all children, from the moment that they can get along without a mother's care, shall be in state institutions at state expense."

–Karl Marx

"Give me four years to teach the children and the seed I have sown will never be uprooted."

–Vladimir Lenin

In both cases, the goal is to 'nationalize' the whole people (i.e. bring the people under the control of the State, and thus, the people who control the State):

"Why nationalize industry when you can nationalize the people?"

–Adolf Hitler

The goal has always been total control, and – if you know where to look and what to look for – you will find these people have always boasted about what they want to do and how they plan to do it. Once again, from one of the founders of the Fabian Socialist movement, which gave birth to the American Progressive movement and Lenin's Communism, George Bernard Shaw?

"I also made it quite clear that Socialism means equality of income or nothing, and that under socialism you would not be allowed to be poor. You would be forcibly feed, clothed, lodged, taught, and employed whether you like it or not. If it were discovered that you had not character enough to be worth all this trouble, you might possibly be executed in a kindly manner; but whilst you were permitted to live you would have to live well."

The point is, if we can teach you from your earliest years to accept what we tell you, and teach it to you in a way that you will actually protect your programming for us, then the job will be all that easier. The only thing then is to get rid of those few who – for whatever spark of the human soul that resists evil – will not conform to this indoctrination. That leads us to 'the final solution' and this post:

AGENDAS: Constructing the Argument for the American Version of the 'Final Solution'

[PLEASE HELP: if you find my humble efforts to warn and educate those who will hear and heed the truth, please re-post this blog and share it on Face Book. Help me get the word to those who will listen – while we still have time...]

The Oil for Your Lamp

UNDERSTANDING HUMANISM: Crisis and Sacrifice in Action

Black3Actual, March 17, 2015

The Humanist is dangerous because he actually studies human nature. His goal is to learn the best ways to create, shape and direct public opinion. To the Humanist, this is a science that can be mastered. It started with the Progressives under Woodrow Wilson, especially with a man named [Edward Bernays](#). Bernays is known as the father of propaganda, and he said [propaganda is a weapon](#). The [NAZI's actually credited Bernays](#) (and the Wilson Administration) for teaching them how to use propaganda as a weapon. Today, we can see the perfection of the process Bernay's pioneered in action — if we know what to look for, that is. The problem for most Americans is that we are the product of Humanist social engineering, which means we have not been taught what we need to know to spot this process. We have to know a great deal of history before we can see it in action. I do not claim to know everything I need to know, myself, but I know enough to spot it. I hope to share what I have learned with you so you might learn to spot it too. I will illustrate using the current narrative on the 'crisis' of 'climate change.'

I wrote a piece on the early stages of what I believe will eventually end in a [Humanist call to 'deal with' their political enemies](#) — namely 'conservatives.' In that piece, I called attention to something Al Gore said in January of 2013:

[On Morning Joe, Gore told the MSNBC hosts:](#)

The scientists now know that there is in human nature a divide between what we sometimes call liberals and conservatives, and it gives an advantage, you can speculate, to the human species to have some people who are temperamentally inclined to try to change the future and experiment with new things, and others who are temperamentally inclined to say, wait a minute, not too fast.

There are many foreboding aspects of Gore's comment, all of which have close parallels with the Humanist tendencies toward mass murder of the people who oppose them. However, the part of this comment I want you to notice at the moment is Gore's allusion to the 'evolution' of humanity. This is an open admission to my contention that Humanists believe they are their own gods, and that they can consciously direct the evolution of man and society. But they also know that they cannot 're-program' the older generation. That requires too much energy and yields inconsistent results, at best. So they focus on the youth, which is why the Humanist says things like this:

https://youtu.be/Y4l3zp3H_gE

In this audio, Gore tells a bunch of public school children that they *'know things their parents do not.'* There is a reason the Humanist preys on children (*i.e. Hitler Youth, etc*): it is because the children are not only trusting, they have not had sufficient life experiences to know when they are being lied to and used by people with evil intentions. So Gore is trying to use indoctrinated children to push his Humanist agenda, and he is not alone. About the 4 minute mark of this video, Obama says the same thing about his own children:

<https://youtu.be/2a01Rg2g2Z8>

But then Obama goes on to talk about how difficult it is for leaders to convince the people to 'sacrifice' for some future 'good.' Listen to the whole clip and you will see Obama weaves several ideas together. He argues that Republicans will have to change their position on 'Climate Change' because 'the majority of people agree with him,' meaning the majority believe 'Climate Change' is a crisis requiring immediate action (*BTW: this is a lie — the majority of Americans disagree with Obama's position*). Obama also says that change is difficult for most people to accept, the implication being that he will have to force change. And at the head of the entire discussion is the un-spoken assumption that Obama is right and this gives him a moral imperative to force his will on the people 'for their own good.'

This is a very clear example of the Humanist 'crisis-sacrifice' play book in action, but it carries sinister implications. Just as Gore 'suggested' that it may be necessary to identify people with 'conservative genetic tendencies' while still in the womb (*I'll leave you to complete the implication here*), now Gore is calling for the 'punishment' of those who do not believe 'Climate Change' is sufficiently understood to necessitate all the policies he and his allies are pushing.

[Al Gore at SXSW: We Need to 'Punish Climate-Change Deniers' and 'Put a Price on Carbon'](#)

This is yet another complicated aspect of the Humanist play book. First, ['science' cannot 'prove' anything](#). But more than this, anyone who actually understands how science works knows that it does not work by democratic vote, so the notion that 'consensus' establishes validity is anything but 'scientific.' However, you cannot get the Humanist to understand this. Science is the Humanists religion, so he is as tone deaf to correction as is the Muslim or any other believer of a false religion. Unfortunately, this does not keep the Humanist from using 'science' effectively. Since grade school, the majority of us have been taught to accept and embrace this Humanist religion, and to follow its priests (*i.e. 'experts in their fields'*) without question. This campaign has been especially successful with the youth — who "know things their parents do not" (*mostly because their parents were never taught these lies*).

So, the Humanist tells us that we — man — is causing 'Climate Change.' He will not entertain the possibility that it could be natural. The history of climate change in the past — before man — is inadmissible in the Humanist's court of public opinion. Nor will the Humanist listen to evidence that their climate models have been proven wrong. Nor will he listen to anyone who points out how often 'science' changes its mind (*i.e. the 'Big Bang' is now false*). To the Humanist, questioning his assertions amounts to heresy, and heretics must be punished! All that matters to

the Humanist is that there is a crisis (*i.e.* 'Climate Change'), that it demands immediate action, which requires sacrifice and he is the only person who knows how to solve the problem. Now you understand why Rahm Emanuel said this:

https://youtu.be/1yeA_kHHLow

This all goes back to Woodrow Wilson and the founding fathers of the American Progressive movement. Wilson openly wrote about how it is a leader's 'duty' to find something on which to focus the peoples' attention, and then convince them to 'sacrifice' toward whatever goal that leader decrees for them. According to Wilson, the end is to 'control' the people. He believed it should be done 'scientifically,' of course, and administered through bureaucracies, but he still believed the people had to be controlled. According to Wilson, once the people elected a leader, they had no right to question him afterward — at least, not until the next election. Wilson sided with Hobbes and not Locke, and it is apparent that Obama and those around him did not fall too far from that tree, themselves. Nothing has changed in the past hundred years: Progressives are still working toward their democratic dictatorship.

But then, maybe something has changed: Americans finally seem to be ready to agree with and surrender to these tyrants.

The Oil for Your Lamp

UNDERSTANDING MATERIALISM: The Presumption of Intellectual and Moral Superiority

Black3Actual, April 4, 2015

In our discussion under my post on [the reflections of the Spirit world as manifested by the colors blue and red](#), my good friend, DonAmeche, makes this comment:

“I have been struggling with whether mathematical insights such as this are a kind of Self-defining boundary of the human mind at any epoch in time or whether they are a kind of small window on the mind of God if you will. The notion (discovery) of lower dimensional surfaces “mapped” to higher dimensional Spheres has a faint ring about it like “as above so below” for instance.”

In doing so, whether he was aware of it at the time or not, my friend stumbled across the central theme common to all species of Materialism: a denial of any limitations of human understanding.

Ordinarily, I construct an argument by providing the evidence first, then stating my conclusion. However, in this case, I feel that it would be best to state this common theme and its immediate effects on the Materialist mind and then provide the proof of my assertion.

Because he denies even the possibility that anything other than matter can exist, the Materialist thinks that man can become god, he merely needs to obtain the knowledge of all there is to know first. The Materialist believes that he understands this ‘truth’ because he intellectually superior, and that his intellectual superiority implies he has a duty to ‘save’ man from his own ignorance. He even goes so far as to assign such an ‘elevated’ man the title of ‘superman.’ Thus, convinced of his superior understanding imparted by his superior intelligence, and mindful of the implied duty to save man from himself, this ‘superman’ sets out to achieve his goals through the sheer force of his own will.

Now, before I provide the evidence for this conclusion, I want to draw your attention to something. If this conclusion is correct, we are dealing with people who see their struggle as an intellectual war. However, we are also dealing with people who have no moral boundaries, supreme but often fragile egos and monumental ambition. They also feel a sense of limitless entitlement and total disregard for anyone they consider to be ‘beneath their status.’ By this, I do mean to imply that we are dealing with people who possess — to one degree or another — clinical psychological and often mental disorders. However, they are not to be underestimated. though they are irrational, they are not without reason. After all, though quite mad, Hitler came one or two decisions away from succeeding in his quest to conquer Europe.

That said, let me start presenting my evidence by quoting one of the most influential founding fathers of the Materialist ideology:

“Historical evolution is on your side,... You merely need, as a class, to fulfill the evolution which your mission calls on you to fulfill. All you need is to will!”

–Karl Marx

Notice Marx did not say “All you need is *the* will!” He said “All you need is *to* will!” Marx was quite literally telling his disciples they could advance human evolution through their will alone — exactly what I claimed the Materialist believes.

The Materialist believes that the only thing that exists is matter. The mind is merely a function of matter. Where there is no matter, there can be no mind. Therefore, there is no possibility of spirit or soul or a next life. Nor is there such a thing as morality or even ethics. In fact, the only law that exists is that which can be maintained by force. To the Materialist, ‘might-makes-right,’ and ‘the-ends-justify-the-means.’ Another very influential founding father of Materialism summed this up quite nicely:

“Since there is not any God and since human beings are only graduate beasts without any souls and without immortality, men should not therefore follow a system of ethics or morals. The natural law of force should prevail in the universe.”

–Frederick Nietzsche

In his manifesto, Karl Marx said:

Our program (Communism) ***“abolishes eternal truths; it abolishes all religion, and morality... it therefore acts in contradiction to all past historical experience.”***

Having ‘freed’ themselves from the existence of any form of law other than force, and from any chance they might have to answer for their subsequent behavior in another life, the Materialist then concludes that, since the only thing that exists is matter, all he needs to do to become a god is pursue science until everything that can be known is discovered and understood. The Materialist sincerely believes that, once all that can be known is known, man will be his own god.

“The turning point of history will be that moment man becomes aware that the only God of man is man himself.”

— Ludwig Feuerback

The natural conclusion to these beliefs is that no one has a right to stand in opposition to the Materialist, his conclusions or his agenda. If a person or group tries to oppose him, the Materialist feels justified in removing that obstacle. This is why the history of Materialism in the

20th Century is the history of Materialist mass-murder to the tune of some 150,000,000+ people! This does not include the millions of lives aborted as a result of [the Materialist Eugenics movement](#). And now, we have Materialists [seeking ways to drastically reduce the global population](#), and even [kill those who are 'genetically predisposed' toward political ideologies that might oppose the Materialist before they are even born!](#)

However, in spite of his claims to the contrary, the Materialist is aware that God lives, and by God, I mean the God of the Bible. This is why the Materialist must 'kill' God. Otherwise, if he does not, people would recognize God and His laws and they would fear judgment in the next life, thus, they will never follow the Materialist when the Materialist urges them to murder in their name. Again, in spite of his claims to be able to affect the changes he desires through his will alone, the Materialist needs 'useful idiots' to do his dirty work. So he knows he has to convince those 'dupes' that God is dead and that 'science' is their new religion. Furthermore, as the only ones capable of understanding 'science,' the Materialist is the new priest, as well as god. Once again, this has been admitted to quite clearly and cleanly:

"Now this God (the God of the Bible) is dead! You higher men, this God was your greatest danger... Forward, higher men! Now at last the mountain of man's future is about to give birth. God is dead; now it is our will that superman shall live!"

— Frederick Nietzsche

Do you see their boasts? 'higher men;' 'superman shall live;' and 'forward!' Now, do you remember seeing the connections in the last Presidential elections?



The past is not dead: it is still driving the events of today:



There is more — *much more!* But I will rest my case. If I have not convinced you of the truth by this point, I doubt I will ever convince you. However, if I have convinced you, and you pay attention, you will find that many of the actions people describe as evidence of incompetence suddenly start to make sense. It is not so much that the people who rule over us are incompetent, but that they simply have a different ideology and agenda. To them, you and I are just matter to be manipulated in the quest to force their will on the world. Viewed in this light, their actions make sense, but this does not mean they are rational. they are not. To one degree or another, they are all depraved!

Going back to my friend, the one who inspired this post: he and I do not suffer from the same depravity. Though we may be arrogant to a degree, we are not so arrogant as to assert that the boundaries of man's understanding are infinite. Nor do we assume that we are superior to anyone else, or that we can become gods. In short, we are — even if flawed — still humble enough to acknowledge our shortcomings and limitations. We also acknowledge that there are laws governing the interactions of man, and that there is such a thing as 'right' and 'wrong' in relation to these laws. It keeps us grounded, and from becoming a threat to all of humanity. Unfortunately, the Materialist does not 'suffer' from any such self-imposed constraints on his actions.

[The Oil for Your Lamp](#)

[CONNECTING THE DOTS: Spirit Of Holocaust Alive And Well In America](#)

Black3Actual, July 22, 2015

This nation is in the grips of the same spirit that drove Hitler to kill the Jews. It has been for a century. I know this because I know history, and history reveals that the American Eugenics movement was a leading factor in the development of Hitler's 'Final Solution.' **If you understand that you are dealing with a spirit, then you will see the clear signs that it has as tight a grip on our nation today as it had on Germany in the 1930's and 40's. The latest evidence that this spirit has hold of our nation can be found in recent news headlines.** I have to wonder, how many Americans saw those headlines and made the connection between those stories and the arguments that were used to justify the Holocaust? If you wonder what I am talking about, or you doubt the validity of my claims, then keep reading. You might be surprised at what our nation has been missing.

It started — at least for me — when **Al Gore went on national television and suggested that 'conservatives' are an 'evolutionary hindrance' to the development of all humanity. Then he stated that 'scientists' have found a way to determine whether an unborn child will be 'progressive' or 'conservative.'** The clear implication in his presentation was that **'conservative' babies should be aborted for the benefit of all humanity.** This is the exact same reasoning that was used to justify the killing of Jews, mentally handicapped, homosexuals and a wide range of other Peoples listed as 'undesirables.' I detailed all of this in my post:

[Social Engineering: Eugenics And The Progressives](#)

Al Gore Confirms That Eugenics Is Alive And Well In Modern America

Now we have a group of racists claiming that black lives are the only lives that matter. Make no mistake: they are racists! When a group of people use force to make people apologize for saying *all* lives matter, then they are saying only some lives matter. In the case of this story, the assertion is that only black lives matter. Well, Hitler justified the Holocaust largely along racial lines, so the same spirit that drove Hitler is behind this story:

[O'Malley Forced to Apologize for Saying 'All Lives Matter'](#)

But this spirit has morphed. Today, in America, it still focuses on race, but it focuses more on political ideology. But make no mistake, the spirit is still driven to call for the murder of anyone who does not conform to the 'group think.' Thus, if you are politically incorrect, the people

consumed by this spirit call for the deaths of those who oppose them. In some cases, it is implied by arguing that they are 'sub-human.'

[EPA Chief McCarthy: 'Climate Deniers' Are Not 'Normal Human Beings'](#)

But, if you pay attention, you will notice that other times the curtain is dropped and the true face of this spirit shows itself in open calls for murder:

[Former Carter staffer: 'Shoot Republicans' to save animals, environment](#)

In light of this situation, the questions we need to answer are:

Have we fallen into the grips of this spirit? And, if I have, how do I free myself from it?

And if I have not yet fallen into the grips of this spirit, how do I avoid doing so?

May I make a suggestion? If you have fallen into the grips of this spirit, turn to God and seek His forgiveness and help. He will respond — but only if you are sincere in your request for help. But, if you have not yet fallen into this spirit's grasp, stay away from the places where it lays its traps. Avoid the 'main stream media,' as well as places like Face Book. No matter how grounded, these places will — eventually — start to draw you into the grasp of hate, and that is the seed which eventually grows into this spirit we're discussing. Also, avoid those who are already in the grips of this spirit. Speak out against them wherever you find them, then ostracize them as best you can. Shun them. Stay away from them. Make sure those around you see you treating them like a plague. Others will follow, but only if you have the courage to lead. So lead!

[Former Jimmy Carter staffer: Shoot Republicans to protect animals and environment](#)

[EPA Chief McCarthy: 'Climate Deniers' Are Not 'Normal Human Beings'](#)

POLITICO

Experts: Gore's right on bio-politics

By Katie Glueck

01/30/2013 04:34 PM EST

Updated: 01/30/2013 05:08 PM EST

Al Gore is promoting a new inconvenient truth - that there's science behind a person's political leanings - and experts say he's onto something.

"He's absolutely right that there are differences in temperament [between liberals and conservatives], and science has been able to shed some light on that," Professor John Hibbing of the University of Nebraska, an expert on the relationship between biology and political orientation, said in an interview with POLITICO.

On Wednesday, during an appearance on MSNBC's "Morning Joe," Gore argued that "human nature" plays a role in shaping political leanings.

"I think, first of all, scientists now know that there is, in human nature, a divide between what we sometimes call 'liberals' and 'conservatives'," Gore said. "And it gives an advantage, you can speculate, to the human species to have some people who are temperamentally inclined to try to change the future, experiment with new things, and others who are temperamentally inclined to say, 'Wait a minute, not too fast, let's make sure we don't do anything rash here.'"

Hibbing said that there's little evidence that political views are predetermined or genetic and didn't think Gore was going that far in his comments.

"A lot of people maybe make the mistake of assuming that anytime you talk about [political] predisposition, that has to be genetic, but a lot of what's in biology is not genetic," he said, adding that biological and psychological patterns have emerged that distinguish conservatives from liberals, especially in how strongly they react to perceived threats.

"We notice liberals and conservatives tend to have fairly different physiological reactions to stimuli," Hibbing said.

Rose McDermott, a political science professor at Brown University and an expert on the relationship between political behavior and genetics, agreed.

“Now what Al Gore is saying, another element to what he’s saying, is there are studies that say conservatives and liberals tend to have different responses to certain events and stimuli,” she told POLITICO.

“For example, conservatives tend to react, in general, much more viscerally to threats,” she continued. “[Conservatives] are much more likely to notice it sooner, to respond to it physiologically, more radically. It appears that liberals are more open to have new experiences, novel experiences.”

She said ideology is also, in part, “heritable, it comes from parents, grandparents, great grandparents, along a political spectrum. A very broad spectrum, like, from communism to fascism.”

McDermott echoed Hibbing in cautioning against tying possible biological differences between liberals and conservatives too closely to genetics. While she didn’t rule out the notion that genetics could play a role in shaping views, she said some people over-attribute to that phenomenon.

“The really, really, really important message for me is, it’s really not the case that there’s a gene for liberalism or a gene for conservatism,” she said. “It often gets misrepresented in the press, but these processes are so complex, it could never be something as simple as a single gene...it’s never going to be that simple, but that doesn’t mean we can’t learn things about biology.”